arXiv:1106.5434v3 [math.CT] 5 Jan 2012

Abelian groups in ω-categories

Brett Milburn*

Abstract

We study abelian group objects in ω-categories and discuss the well-known Dold-Kan correspondence from the perspective of ω-categories as a model for strict ∞-categories. The first part of the paper is intended to compile results from the existing literature and to fill some gaps therein. We go on to consider a parameterized Dold-Kan correspondence, i.e. a Dold-Kan correspondence for presheaves of ω-categories. The main result is to describe the descent or sheaf condition in terms of a glueing condition that is familiar for 1 and 2-stacks.

Contents

1 Introduction 2

2 ω-categories 3
2.1 Equivalences of ω-categories . . . 4

3 Picard ω-categories 5
3.1 Picard ω-Categories and Chain Complexes . . . 5
3.2 Equivalences of Picard ω-categories . . . 8
3.3 Useful Facts for Picard ω-categories . . . 9
3.4 I-categories . . . 11
3.5 ω-categories in a category $\mathcal{C}$ . . . 12

4 The Dold-Kan Correspondence 13
4.1 ω-categories and quasicategories . . . 13
4.1.1 Basics of Parity Complexes . . . 13
4.1.2 The Nerve of an ω-Category and the Street-Roberts Conjecture . . . 15
4.2 The Dold-Kan Triangle . . . 16

5 The Dold-Kan Correspondence for Sheaves 16
5.1 Definitions . . . 16
5.2 Model Structures and Derived Dold-Kan . . . 17

6 Omega Descent 18
6.1 Loop and Path Functors . . . 20
6.2 Equivalence of Descent Conditions . . . 22

7 ∞-torsors 25
7.1 Comparison with other Approaches . . . 27

---

*milburn@math.utexas.edu

8 Appendix **29**
8.1 Deligne's Theorem 29
8.2 Nerve and Path Functors for ω-categories 30
8.3 Homotopies 33
8.3.1 Homotopies 33
8.3.2 Homotopies from the Perspective of ω-Categories 34

1 Introduction

Our goal is to investigate abelian group objects in ∞-categories. There are many notions of ∞-category. Lurie [31] and Leinster [30] provide good–though not exhaustive–surveys of various definitions. Other models such as complete Segal spaces [32] and crossed complexes [8] also appear in the literature. The approach taken in this paper is to consider ω-categories as strict ∞-categories.

Roughly, an ω-category coincides with the intuitive description of an ∞-category. It has objects and n-morphisms for $n \geq 1$. One can compose n-morphisms and take the k-th source or target of an n-morphism to get a k-morphism. In contrast, quasicategories (simplicial sets which admit fillers for inner horns) are also a model for ∞-categories. While simplicial sets are useful from the perspective of homotopy theory, they are not endowed with all of the desired structure that one would like for an ∞-category. Namely, there is no natural choice for identity morphisms or composition. From this perspective, it is useful to consider ω-categories, which have the advantage of not having the same deficits. Furthermore, ω-categories enjoy many nice properties. For example, n-categories are easily defined. However, ω-categories are strict ∞-categories in the sense that composition is associative on the nose, and they do not contain the coherence data that one might desire for weak ∞-categories (although weak ω-categories have also been studied [46, 30]). Presently our interest is in abelian group objects in ∞-categories. We will see that abelian group objects in simplicial sets are in fact strict ∞-categories, and we may therefore interpret them as ω-categories.

Sections 1-4 are primarily expository. We begin by defining ω-categories in §2 and introducing the notion of equivalence of ω-categories. In §3, we formulate and prove the well-known statement that abelian groups objects in ∞-categories are the same as chain complexes of abelian groups in non-negative degrees. Furthermore, we show that this equivalence induces a derived equivalence. Two generalizations are pursued. Firstly, we introduce the notion of an I-category for any partially ordered set I. Of particular interest are the $\mathbb{Z}$-categories. Abelian group objects in $\mathbb{Z}$-categories are equivalent to chain complexes of abelian groups. The analogue of the correspondence between ω-categories and simplicial sets is now between $\mathbb{Z}$-categories and combinatorial spectra (cf. [24]), though this is not made precise here. Similarly, the relationship between chain complexes and $\mathbb{Z}$-categories is also analogous to the Quillen equivalence between chain complexes of abelian groups and $H\mathbb{Z}$-module spectra described by Schwede and Shipley [38, 39]. Secondly, we observe that since an ω-category is determined by a set equipped with some structure maps, we may think of an ω-category as an ω-category in $Sets$. This can be extended to define an ω-category in an arbitrary category with fibered products. We generalize the equivalence between Chain complexes $Ch^+(Ab)$ of abelian groups in non-negative degree and Pic_ω, abelian group objects in ω-categories. For any abelian category $\mathcal{C}$ with countable direct sums, we show that $Ch^+(\mathcal{C})$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{C}_\omega$, ω-categories in $\mathcal{C}$.

Simplicial abelian groups, denoted sAb, can also be thought of as abelian group objects in ∞-categories. The Dold-Kan correspondence [13] states that there is an equivalence $Ch^+(Ab) \simeq sAb$. In section §4 we cite a recent result of Brown, Higgins, and Sivera [10] that relates the Dold-Kan correspondence to the equivalence $Ch^+(Ab) \simeq Pic_\omega$. To put it succinctly, there is a nerve functor, due to Street [43], $N : \omega Cat \longrightarrow sSet$ from ω-categories to simplicial sets, which when restricted to Pic_ω gives $N : Pic_\omega \longrightarrow sAb$. The Dold-Kan equivalence $Ch^+(Ab) \longrightarrow sAb$ is, up to isomorphism, the composition of $Ch^+(Ab) \longrightarrow Pic_\omega$ with the nerve functor. Each of $Ch^+(Ab)$, Pic_ω, and sAb are naturally equivalent not just as categories but also as model categories.

The core of the paper is in §6, where we consider descent for presheaves of ω-categories. Descent for ω-categories has been considered by Street in [44, 46], and Verity showed [48] that Street's definition of descent is equivalent to the standard notion of descent for presheaves of simplicial sets, where the two are related by the nerve functor. The Dold-Kan correspondence extends to presheaves with values in $Ch^+(Ab)$, Pic_ω, or sAb. We consider several model structures on the presheaf categories, in particular one where the fibrant objects are precisely the sheaves (i.e. those satisfying descent with respect to all hypercovers) and one where the fibrant objects are those satisfying Čech descent (i.e. descent with respect to open covers). We show that the homotopy category of simplicial sheaves of abelian groups on a space X satisfying descent is equivalent to the derived category in non-negative degrees $D^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{A}b)$ of sheaves of abelian groups on X.

The key result is Theorem 6.4 which states that a presheaf of simplicial abelian groups on site $\mathcal{S}$ satisfies Čech descent if and only if it satisfies a more concrete glueing condition, which can be explained roughly as being able to glue objects and n-morphism from local sections. In more detail, a presheaf $\mathcal{A}$ of simplicial abelian groups, $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies Čech descent if and only if for every $X \in \mathcal{S}$ and open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ of X,

1. given local objects $x_i \in \mathcal{A}(U_i)_0$ which are glued together by 1-morphisms and higher degree morphisms in a coherent way, there exists a global object $x \in \mathcal{A}(X)$, unique up to isomorphism, which glues the x_i, and
2. for any n-morphisms $x, y \in \mathcal{A}(X)_n$, the presheaf $Hom_{\mathcal{A}}(x, y)$ whose objects are the $(n+1)$-morphisms from x to y satisfies the above glueing condition for objects.

This can be interpreted as providing a computational tool for determining whether a presheaf satisfies Čech descent or a way of constructing a sheafification of a given presheaf. We hope that this has applications in the study of n-gerbes. Let G be an abelian group and X a topological space. With the appropriate notion of torsor, one may view an n-gerbe for G on X as a torsor for a presheaf of simplicial abelian groups, namely it is generated by G in degree n and 0 elsewhere. Given the computational descent condition, it should become apparent that isomorphism classes of n-gerbes for G are given by $\check{H}^n(X, G)$. In this way, this paper is a step towards viewing sheaves of ∞-categories as geometric realizations of cohomology classes. This point of view is further explained in the final section, where we draw on the insights of Fiorenza, Sati, Schreiber, and Stasheff [15, 37, 41] to describe torsors for sheaves valued in ∞-groups.

2 ω-categories

We begin by defining ω-categories, which are a model for strict ∞-categories.

Definition 1. The data for an *ω-category* is a set A with maps $s_i, t_i : A \longrightarrow A$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and maps $*_i : A \times_A A \longrightarrow A$, where $A \times_A A \longrightarrow A$ is the fibered product, given maps $s_i : A \longrightarrow A$ and $t_i : A \longrightarrow A$. Let $\rho_i, \sigma_i \in \{s_i, t_i\}$ denote any source or target map.

$(A, s_i, t_i, *_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is said to be an ω-category if the following 3 conditions are satisfied:

1. For all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $(A, s_i, t_i, *_i)$ is a category. In other words,
    (a) $\rho_i\sigma_i = \sigma_i$
    (b) $a *_i s_i(a) = t_i(a) *_i a = a$
    (c) $(a *_i b) *_i c = a *_i (b *_i c)$
    (d) $s_i(a *_i b) = s_i b$, and $t_i(a *_i b) = t_i a$
2. For all $i < j$,(A_i, A_j) is a strict 2-category. That is,

   (a) $\rho_j\sigma_i = \sigma_i$
   (b) $\sigma_i\rho_j = \sigma_i$
   (c) $\rho_j(a *_i b) = \rho_j a *_i \rho_j b$
   (d) $(a *_j b) *_i (\alpha *_j \beta) = (a *_i \alpha) *_j (b *_i \beta)$ whenever both sides are defined.

3. For all $a \in A$, there is some $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $s_i a = t_i a = a$.

Definition 2. 1. For an ω-category A and $i \in \mathbb{N}$, *i-objects* in A are $A_i := s_i A$, and *strict i-objects* are $A_i \setminus A_{i-1}$. In conforming to convention, we also refer to i-objects as *i-morphisms*.

2. Let ωCat denote the category whose objects are ω-categories and morphisms are functors between ω-categories, meaning maps of sets which preserve all structures s_i, t_i, $*_i$ for all i.

3. We write $Ob : \omega Cat \longrightarrow Sets$ for the forgetful functor which sends an ω-category to its underlying set.

4. For $A \in \omega Cat$ and $a, b \in A_i$, let $Hom^i_A(a, b) := \{x \in A \mid s_i x = a, \text{ and } t_i x = b\}$.

Remark 2.1. ωCat is a symmetric monoidal category. For A, $B \in \infty$-cat, The product $A \times B$ is just the cartesian product as sets, and source, target, and composition maps are defined componentwise.

Definition 3. We say that $A \in \omega Cat$ is a *groupoid* if every n-morphism $n \geq 1$ is an isomorphism, meaning that if $x \in A_n$, there exists for every $j < n$ a $y \in A$ such that $x *_j y = t_j x$ and $y *_j x = s_j x$.

Remark 2.2. It is a well known result of Brown and Higgins [9] that there is an equivalence between ω-groupoids and crossed complexes.

2.1 Equivalences of ω-categories

Definition 4. 1. For any ω-category A, two i-objects $a, a' \in A_i$ are said to be isomorphic if there exists $u \in Hom^{i+1}(a, a')$ and $v \in Hom^{i+1}(a', a)$ such that $u *_i v = a'$ and $v *_i u = a$. (In the language of Street [43], a and a' are 1-equivalent.)

2. Let $F : A \longrightarrow B$ be a functor of ω-categories. We say that F is an equivalence of ω-categories if

   (a) Any 0-object, $b \in B$ is isomorphic to Fa for some 0-object a in A,
   (b) for any $i \geq 0$ and $a, a' \in A_i$ such that $s_{i-1}a = s_{i-1}a'$, $t_{i-1}a = t_{i-1}a'$ and $\psi \in Hom^i_B(Fa, Fa')$, there exists $\phi \in Hom^i_A(a, a')$ and an isomorphism $\beta \in Hom^{i+1}_B(F\phi, \psi)$, and
   (c) for i-objects $a, a' \in A$, if Fa is isomorphic to Fa' in B, then a is isomorphic to a' in A

   Conditions 2a, 2b, and 2c are the higher-categorical analogues of being essentially surjective, full, and faithful respectively. The meaning of this definition is roughly that FA_i should be the same as B_i, up to (i+1)-isomorphism. In the notation of definition 1, the first two conditions can be restated as:

   (a) For all $y \in B_0$, there exists $x \in A_0$ and isomorphism $f \in B_1$ such that $s_0 f = Fx$ and $t_0 f = y$.
   (b) If $a, a' \in A_i$ such that $s_{i-1}a = s_{i-1}a'$, $t_{i-1}a = t_{i-1}a'$, and $\psi \in B_{i+1}$ such that $s_i\psi = Fa$ and $t_i\psi = Fa'$, then there exists $\phi \in A_{i+1}$ and an isomorphism $\beta \in B_{i+2}$ such that $s_i\phi = a$, $t_i\phi = a'$, $s_{i+1}\beta = F\phi$, and $t_{i+1}\beta = \psi$.

Remark 2.3. Note that when A and B are groupoids, then $F : A \longrightarrow B$ automatically satisfies condition 2c of Definition 4 if it satisfies 2a and 2b. Thus, the third condition is superfluous when we are dealing with groupoids. Furthermore, when A and B are groupoids, condition 2a can be viewed as a special case of condition 2b if we add a point $\{*\} = A_{-1} = B_{-1}$ in degree -1.

Equivalences of ω-categories are in fact weak equivalences in a cofibrantly generated model structure on ωCat [29]. Ara and Métayer showed in [1] that this model structures restricts to one on ω-groupoids in a way that is compatible with Brown and Golansiński's model structure on crossed complexes [7].

3 Picard ω-categories

Definition 5. A *Picard ω-category* is an abelian group object in ωCat. We let Pic_ω denote the category of Picard ω-categories, where $Hom_{Pic_\omega}(A,B) = \{F \in Hom_{\omega cat}(A,B) \mid F \circ + = + \circ (F \times F)\}$.

Remark 3.1. For a Picard ω-category A, the fact that $+ : A \times A \longrightarrow A$ is a functor implies that each A_i is a subgroup. Also, we observe that if A is a Picard ω-category, then $Ob(A)$ is an abelian group.

Proposition 3.2. *1. An ω-category A such that $Ob(A)$ is endowed with the structure of an abelian group is a Picard ω-category if and only if*

  (a) $+ : A \times A \longrightarrow A$ is a functor of ω-categories
  and

  (b) $x *_i y = x + y - s_i(x)$ whenever the left hand side is defined.

2. Pic_ω is an abelian category.

Proof. 1. First suppose that $A \in Pic_\omega$. Then $+$ is a functor. Furthermore, it is clear that $Ob(A)$ must be an abelian group object in Set. We only need to verify that $x *_i y = x + y - s_i(x)$ whenever the left-hand side is defined. Since $+$ is a functor, $(x+y) *_n (x'+y') = (x *_n x') + (y *_n y')$ if the right side is defined. Suppose that $s_i x = t_i y$. Then $x *_i y = (x+0) *_i (s_i x + (y - t_i y)) = x *_i s_i x + 0 *_i (y - t_i y) = x + (t_i y - t_i y) *_i (y - t_i y) = x + t_i y *_i y + (-t_i y *_i -t_i y) = x + y + -t_i y = x + y - s_i x$.

Now suppose that $A \in \omega Cat$ such that $Ob(A)$ is an abelian group and conditions 1a and 1b are satisfied. We wish to show that $A \in Pic_\omega$. Since Ob is faithful and ObA is an abelian group object in Set, A is an abelian group object in ωCat provided that addition $+$ and inverse $\iota : A \longrightarrow A$ are functors of ω-categories. By condition 1a, $+$ is a functor, so it only remains to see that ι is a functor. Since $0 = \rho_n 0 = \rho_n(x + x^{-1}) = \rho_n x + \rho_n x^{-1}$, $\rho_n x^{-1} = (\rho_n x)^{-1}$ for $\rho_n \in \{s_n, t_n\}$ so that ι respects source and target maps. Also, since $(x *_n y)^{-1} = (x + y - s_n x)^{-1} = x^{-1} + y^{-1} - s_n x^{-1} = x^{-1} *_n y^{-1}$, ι respects compositions. We conclude that A is a group object in ωCat.

2. For A, $B \in Pic_\omega$, $Hom(A,B)$ is an abelian group. The sum $\phi + \psi$ of two functors preserves all source and target maps and also preserves composition because $+$ is a functor: $(\phi + \psi)x *_n y = \phi x *_n \phi y + \psi x *_n \psi y = (\phi x + \psi x) *_n (\phi y + \psi y) = (\phi + \psi)x *_n (\phi + \psi)y$. Direct sums, kernels, and cokernels are gotten by taking each on the level of abelian groups, e.g. $Ob(Ker\phi) = KerOb(\phi)$. It is clear how to define source, targets and compositions on direct sums and kernels. For cokernels, since functors respect source and target maps, there is no difficulty in defining source and target maps on a cokernel. Composition in a cokernel is defined by letting $x *_n y = x + y - s_n x$.

□

Remark 3.3. The forgetful functors $\mathcal{F}_{Ab}$, $\mathcal{F}_{Set} = Ob$, $\mathcal{F}_\omega$ taking values in Ab, Set, and ωCat respectively are all faithful functors. It follows from Proposition 3.2b that if A, $B \in Pic_\omega$ and $g \in Hom_{Ab}(\mathcal{F}_{Ab}A, \mathcal{F}_{Ab}B)$ respects all source and target maps, then $g = \mathcal{F}_{Ab}f$ for some $f \in Hom_{Pic_\omega}(A,B)$.

3.1 Picard ω-Categories and Chain Complexes

Notation. Let $Ch^+(Ab)$ denote the category of complexes of abelian groups in non-negative degrees.

Let Pic denote the category of Picard categories in the sense of Deligne [12]. That is, a Picard category $\mathcal{C}$ is a quadruple $(\mathcal{C}, +, \sigma, \tau)$, where $+ : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a functor such that for all objects $x \in \mathcal{C}$, $x+ : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is an equivalence, and addition is commutative and associative up to isomorphisms τ and σ. Deligne made the observation that one can assign to a complex $A^1 \longrightarrow A^0$ of abelian groups a Picard category PA, whose objects are A_0 and $Hom_{PA}(a,b) = \{f \in A_1 \mid df = b - a\}$. He goes on to show that $P : Ch^{0,1}(Ab) \longrightarrow Pic$ is an equivalence and also induces an equivalence between $D^{0,1}(Ab)$ and Pic modulo natural isomorphism.

Define $Pic^1_\omega = \{A \in Pic_\omega \mid A = A_1\}$. The relationship between Pic^1_ω and Pic is explained in Proposition 3.4, the proof of which is found in section 8.1 of the appendix. Furthermore, when restricted to short complexes in degrees 1 and 0 only, Theorem 3.7 is a strictification theorem which states that Pic^1_ω and Pic are equivalent.

Proposition 3.4. *Pic^1_{strict} consists of all small Picard categories in Pic such that + is strictly associative and commutative (i.e. τ and σ are identities) and for each $x \in ob(\mathcal{C})$, $x+ : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is an isomorphism, not just an equivalence.*

Deligne's correspondence extends to longer complexes. The correspondence in Proposition 3.5 was considered by Bourn, Steiner, Brown, Higgins [4, 42, 8], et al.

Proposition 3.5. *A complex A of abelian groups defines a Picard ω-category $P(A)$. This assignment $P : Ch^+(Ab) \longrightarrow Pic_\omega$ is a functor.*

Proof. Let $P = P(A)$ consist of sequences $x = ((x_0^-, x_0^+), (x_1^-, x_1^+), ...)$ with $x_i^\alpha \in A^i$ such that $dx_i^\alpha = x_{i-1}^+ - x_{i-1}^-$ for all $i \geq 1$, $\alpha \in \{+, -\}$. We define source and target maps by $s_i x = ((x_0^-, x_0^+), (x_{i-1}^-, x_{i-1}^+), (x_i^-, x_i^-), (0, 0), ...)$, and $t_i x = ((x_0^-, x_0^+), (x_{i-1}^-, x_{i-1}^+), (x_i^+, x_i^+), (0, 0), ...)$. If $s_i x = t_i y$, define

$$x *_i y = ((x_0^-, x_0^+), ..., (x_{i-1}^-, x_{i-1}^+), (y_i^-, x_i^+), (x_{i+1}^- + y_{i+1}^-, x_{i+1}^+ + y_{i+1}^+), ...)$$

We need to check that $x *_i y$ is an element of PA. Firstly, $dx_i^+ = dx_i^- = dy_i^+ = dy_i^-$ since $s_i x = t_i y$. Secondly, $d(x_{i+1}^+ + y_{i+1}^+) = d(x_{i+1}^- + y_{i+1}^-) = (x_i^+ - x_i^-) + (y_i^+ - y_i^-) = x_i^+ - y_i^-$ since $x_i^- = y_i^+$. Finally, for $j > i + 1$, it is obvious that $d(x *_i y)_j^\alpha = d((x *_i y)_{j-1}^+ - (x *_i y)_{j-1}^-)$. Hence, $(x *_i y) \in P$. It is easily checked that PA is an ω-category.

We now define an operation $P \times P \longrightarrow P$ which makes P into a Picard ω-category . This is the obvious operation

$$x + y = ((x_0^- + y_0^-, x_0^+ + y_0^+), (x_1^- + y_1^-, x_1^+ + y_1^+), ...),$$

which obviously satisfies $x + y - s_n x = x *_n y$ when composition is defined. To see that + is a functor, let $x, y, a, b \in P$ such that $s_n x = t_n a$ and $s_n y = t_n b$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} +((x,y) *_i (a,b)) &= (x *_i a) + (y *_i b) \\ &= ((x_0^-, x_0^+), ..., (a_i^-, x_i^+), (a_{i+1}^- + x_{i+1}^-, a_{i+1}^+ + x_{i+1}^+), ...) \\ &\qquad +((y_0^-, y_0^+), ..., (b_i^-, y_i^+), (b_{i+1}^- + y_{i+1}^-, b_{i+1}^+ + y_{i+1}^+), ...) \\ &= ((x_0^- + y_0^-, x_0^+ + y_0^+), ..., (a_i^- + b_i^-, x_i^+ + y_i^+), (a_{i+1}^- + x_{i+1}^- + b_{i+1}^- + y_{i+1}^-, a_{i+1}^+ + x_{i+1}^+ + b_{i+1}^+ + y_{i+1}^+), ... \\ &= (x + y) *_i (a + b), \end{aligned}$$

so by Proposition 3.2, P a Picard ω-category . The assignment $P : C^{\leq 0}(Ab) \longrightarrow Pic_\omega$ is obviously a functor; for a morphism $f : A \longrightarrow B$ in $Ch^+(Ab)$, Pf is given by $(Pfx)_i^\alpha = f(x_i^\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in \{+, -\}$. ☐

Henceforth, we shall denote a sequence $x = ((x_0^-, x_0^+), (x_1^-, x_1^+), ...)$ by $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ or simply (x_i), where $x_i = (x_i^-, x_i^+)$.

Lemma 3.6. *A Picard ω-category A defines a chain complex $Q(A) \in Ch^+(Ab)$ in such a way that $Q : Pic_\omega \longrightarrow Ch^+(Ab)$ is a functor.*

Proof. Since $+A \times A \longrightarrow A$ is a functor, it respects all operators $*_i$, s_i, t_i. Hence, $+A_i \times A_i \longrightarrow A_i$, so A_i is a subgroup of A. Therefore it makes sense to define $Q^i := (QA)^i := A_i / A_{i-1}$ for $i > 0$ and $Q^0 = A_0$. We define, for each $i > 0$ an operation $d : Q^i \longrightarrow Q^{i-1}$ by first defining a homomorphism $d_0 : A_i \longrightarrow A_{i-1}$. Define $d_0 = t_{i-1} - s_{i-1}$. We must check that this is a homomorphism. If $x, y \in A_i$,

$$\begin{aligned} d_0(x+y) &= t_{i-1}(x+y) - s_{i-1}(x+y) \\ &= t_{i-1}x + t_{i-1}y - (s_{i-1}x + s_{i-1}y) \\ &= t_{i-1}x - s_{i-1}x + (t_{i-1}y - s_{i-1}y) \\ &= d_0x + d_0y. \end{aligned}$$

If $x \in A_{i-1}$, then $t_{i-1}x = x = s_{i-1}x$, so $d_0(A_{i-1}) = 0$. Therefore, d_0 is a group homomorphism such that $A_{i-1} \subset Ker d_0$. This determines a homomorphism $d : Q^i \longrightarrow Q^{i-1}$. To see that $d^2 = 0$, for $x \in Q^i$, $d^2x = d(t_{i-1}x - s_{i-1}x) = t_{i-2}(t_{i-1}x - s_{i-1}x) - s_{i-2}(t_{i-1}x - s_{i-1}x) = t_{i-2}x - t_{i-2}x - (s_{i-2}x - s_{i-2}x) = 0$. Hence, Q is a complex of abelian groups.

We have constructed Q from A, which gives a map $Q : Pic_\omega \longrightarrow C^{\leq 0}(Ab)$. If $F : A \longrightarrow B$ is a map of ω-categories, $F : A_i \longrightarrow B_i$ for each $i \geq 0$, so F descends to a map $Q(F) : A_i/A_{i-1} \longrightarrow B_i/B_{i-1}$, which is easily seen to be a map of complexes. This makes Q is a functor of 1-categories. ☐

Theorem 3.7. *([4]) $Q \circ P \simeq id$ and $P \circ Q \simeq id$. Therefore, Q and P are equivalences of categories.*

Proof. From a complex $A \in Ch^+(Ab)$, we get a complex $Q = Q(PA)$, where $Q^i = (PA)_i/(PA)_{i-1}$. First define a map $A^i \longrightarrow (PA)_i$, $x \mapsto \hat{x}$, in the following way:

$$(\hat{x})_j = \begin{cases} (0,0) & \text{if } j < i-1 \\ (0, dx) & \text{if } j = i-1 \\ (x,x) & \text{if } j = i\ . \end{cases}$$

Now define a map $h : A^i \longrightarrow Q^i$ by $h(x) = [\hat{x}] \in PA_i/PA_{i-1}$. Observe that for $y \in (PA)_i$, $[y] = [y - s_{i-1}y] = [\hat{y_i^+}] = h(y_i^+)$. Therefore, h is surjective. It is clear that h is a homomorphism and that it is injective. But h must also be a map of complexes. If $x \in A^i$, $dh(x) = d[\hat{x}] = [t_{i-1}\hat{x} - s_{i-1}\hat{x}]$, where

$$(t_{i-1}\hat{x} - s_{i-1}\hat{x})_j = \begin{cases} (0,0) & \text{if } j \neq i-1 \\ (dx, dx) & \text{if } j = i-1 \end{cases}$$

Since $d^2 = 0$, this is obviously the class of $\hat{dx}$. Hence, h is a morphism of chain complexes, and we conclude by injectivity and surjectivity that $h_A : A \longrightarrow QP(A)$ is an isomorphism. However, to be an isomorphism of functors, $QP \xrightarrow{\sim} 1$, these maps must satisfy $h_B \circ f = ((QP(f)) \circ h_A$ for any map of complexes $f : A \longrightarrow B$. For $x \in A^i$, $h_B(f(x)) = [(\hat{f(x)})] \in (PB)_i/(PB)_{i-1}$. Applying the right-hand side to x, we get $((QP(f)) \circ h_A x = Q(P(f))[\hat{x}] = [P(f)\hat{x}] = [(\hat{f(x)})]$. So h does in fact define an isomorphism between endofunctors QP and 1 of $Ch^+(Ab)$.

Now we wish to show that for $A \in Pic_\omega$, there is an isomorphism $\varphi : A \longrightarrow PQA$ in Pic_ω. For the rest of the proof, for $x \in A_i$, let $[x]$ be its image in $Q^i = A_i/A_{i-1}$, and for any $x \in A$, let $\mu(x) := min\{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid s_m x = x\}$. Now, for $x \in A$, define $\varphi x = \{(\varphi x)_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ by:

$$(\varphi x)_i = \begin{cases} ([s_i x], [t_i x]) & \text{if } i \leq \mu(x) \\ (0,0) & \text{if } i > \mu(x). \end{cases}$$

It is clear that $\varphi x \in PQA$, but we still must check that φ is a functor. For $\rho_i \in \{s_i, t_i\}$, it must be shown that $\varphi(\rho_i x) = \rho_i \varphi x$. If $i > \mu(x)$, this is obvious. If $i < \mu(x)$,

$$(\varphi(\rho_i x))_j = \begin{cases} ([s_i x], [t_i x]) & \text{if } i < j \\ ([\rho_i x], [\rho_i x]) & \text{if } i = j \\ (0,0) & \text{if } j > i, \end{cases}$$

which is exactly the same formula for $(\rho_i(\varphi x))_j$. Additionally, φ must be a homomorphism of abelian groups, but this follows easily. For simplicity, assume $\mu(x) \leq \mu(y)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\varphi(x+y))_i &= \begin{cases} ([s_i(x+y)],[t_i(x+y)]) & \text{if } i \leq \mu(x+y) = \mu(y) \\ ([x+y],[x+y]) & \text{if } i = \mu(y) \\ (0,0) & \text{if } i > \mu(y) \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} ([s_i x]+[s_i y],[t_i x]+[t_i y]) & \text{if } i \leq \mu(x+y) = \mu(y) \\ ([x]+[y],[x]+[y]) & \text{if } i = \mu(y) \\ (0,0) & \text{if } i > \mu(y) \end{cases} \\
&= (\varphi(x)+\varphi(y))_i.
\end{aligned}
$$

By Remark 3.3, φ is a morphism of Picard ω-categories.

To show that φ is an isomorphism of ω-categories, we simply show that φ is bijection of sets. Let $P = PQ(A)$. First we show that φ is surjective. We prove by induction that $(P)_n$ is in the image of φ for each each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $n = 0$, let $a = ((a_0, a_0), (0,0), ...) \in P_0$, so $a_0 \in A^0 = P_0$, and $\varphi(a_0) = a$. Now suppose that $P_k \subset \varphi(A)$. Let $x = (x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} = (([a_i^-],[a_i^+]))_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \in P_{k+1}$, $a_i^\pm \in A_i$. Then $[a_{k+1}^-] = [a_{k+1}^+]$, and $\varphi(a_{k+1}^+) - x \in (P)_k \subset \varphi(A)$ by induction hypothesis, so $\varphi(a_{k+1}^+) - x = \varphi(z)$ for some $z \in A_k$. Hence, $x = \varphi(a_{k+1}^+ - z) \in \varphi(A)$. Thus, $P_{k+1} \subset \varphi(A)$ and therefore φ is surjective.

Now we demonstrate that φ is injective. Let $x \in Ker\phi$ and $\mu = \mu(x)$ as above. Then $s_n x = x$ for all $n \geq \mu$ and $s_k x \neq x$ for all $k < \mu$. If $\phi x = 0$, $[s_k x] = 0$ for all k, whence $s_k x \in A_{k-1}$. In particular, $s_\mu x \in A_{\mu-1}$, which implies that $s_{\mu-1} x = x$, which contradicts the minimality of μ. Therefore to avoid a contradiction, x must be 0 and $Ker\phi = 0$. Therefore, φ is an isomorphism.

For each $A \in Pic_\omega$, we've produced an $\varphi_A : A \xrightarrow{\sim} PQ(A)$. To complete the proof, we simply must see if this satisfies compatibility with morphisms in Pic_ω. For $x \in A$, we require that $\varphi_B \circ f(x) = (PQ(f)) \circ \varphi_A$. We consider the i-th entry in each sequence and see that the are the same. Since $(\varphi_A(x))_i = ([s_i x],[t_i x])$, with $[s_i x],[t_i x] \in A_i/A_{i-1}$, we have that $(P(Qf)(\varphi_A(x))_i = (Qf)(\varphi_A(x))_i = ((Q(f))[s_i x],(Q(f))[t_i x]) = ([f(s_i x)],[f(t_i x)]) = ([s_i f(x)],[t_i f(x)]) = (\varphi_B \circ f(x))_i$. ☐

3.2 Equivalences of Picard ω-categories

Proposition 3.8. *For complexes $A, B \in C^+(Ab)$ and map of complexes $f : A \longrightarrow B$, f is a quasi-isomorphism if and only if $Pf : PA \longrightarrow PB$ is an equivalence of ω-categories.*

Proof. This proof will use the description in the first part of Definition 4, as it simplifies the notation. For an object $a \in A^n$ satisfying $da = 0$, we denote its image in $H^n(A)$ by $[a]$, and for a map $f : A \longrightarrow B$, by abuse of notation, let f also denote the induced map on cohomology. For ease of notation, let $F : A \longrightarrow B$ denote $Pf : PA \longrightarrow PB$.

Let $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ be a quasi-isomorphism of complexes $A\ B \in Ch^+(Ab)$. If $y \in PB_0 = B^0$, there exists $x \in A^0$ such that $[fx] = [y]$, so there exists some $z \in B^1$ such that $dz = fx - y$. Thus, $((y, fx), (z, z), 0, ...) \in Hom^1(fx, y)$ is an isomorphism as required. This proves condition (1) of Definition 4. To prove condition (2), let $\psi \in Hom^n_{PB}(Fx, Fy)$ with x, $y \in PA_n$ such that $s_{n-1}x = s_{n-1}y$ and $t_{n-1}x = t_{n-1}y$. Then $\psi = ((\psi_0^-, \psi_0^+), ..., (\psi_{n+1}^-, \psi_{n+1}^+), 0, ...)$ with $s_n\psi = Fx = ((fx_0^-, fx_0^+), ..., (fx_n^-, fx_n^+), 0, ...)$ and $t_n\psi = Fx = ((fy_0^-, fy_0^+), ..., (fy_n^-, fy_n^+), 0, ...)$, so $\psi_n^- = fx_n^- = fx_n^+$ and $\psi_n^+ = fy_n^- = fy_n^+$. This means that $d\psi_{n+1}^\pm = fy_n^\pm - fx_n^\pm$ so that $[fx_n^+ - fy_n^+] = 0$ and therefore $[x_n^+ - y_n^+] = 0$ because f is a quasi-isomorphism . Hence, $d\phi = y_n^+ - x_n^+$ for some $\phi \in A^{n+1}$. Since $\sigma_i x = \sigma_i y$ for $i < n$, $\sigma_i \in \{s_i, t_i\}$, $x_i^\pm = y_i^\pm$. We conclude that $((x_0^-, x_0^+), ...,, (x_{n-1}^-, x_{n-1}^+), (x_n^+, y_n^+), (\phi, \phi), 0, ...)$ is an $(n+1)$-morphism from x to y as required. Since PA and PB are groupoids, condition (2) entails condition (3). Therefore, $F : A \longrightarrow B$ is an equivalence of

ω-categories.

Now suppose that F is an equivalence. We will show that $f : H^n(A) \longrightarrow H^n(B)$ is an isomorphism for each n. Let $x \in Ker(d : A^n \longrightarrow A^{n-1})$. If $[fx] = 0$, then there is some ψ such that $d\psi = fx$. We see that $(0, ..., (0, 0), (0, fx), (\psi, \psi), 0...)$ is an $(n+1)$-isomorphism from 0 to $\hat{fx} = (0, ..., (0, 0), (fx, fx), 0...)$. By condition (3) of Definition 4, $\hat{x}$ is isomorphic to 0. Such an isomorphism is of the form $(0, ..., (0, 0), (0, x), (\phi, \phi), 0...)$ for some ϕ satisfying $d\phi = x$, so we see that $[x] = 0$ and therefore f is injective. To see that f is surjective, let $[y] \in H^n(B)$ for $n > 0$ and consider $\hat{y} = (0, ..., 0, (0, 0), (y, y), 0, ...)$, which is an n-isomorphism from $0 = F(0)$ to itself. By condition (2), there exists an n-isomorphism $\hat{x} = (0, ..., 0, (x, x), 0...)$ in A together with an $(n + 1)$-morphism $(0, ..., 0, (fx, y), (z, z), 0...)$ from $F\hat{x}$ to $\hat{y}$. Hence, $dz = y - fx$ so that $f[x] = [y]$ and f is surjective. For $n = 0$, let $y \in H^0(B)$, we use the same argument, except this time invoking condition (1) to ensure the existence of such an $x \in A^0$. This shows that f is a quasi-isomorphism . ☐

Let $Ho(Pic_\omega)$ denote Pic_ω localized at the equivalences. We now have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.9. *The derived category $D^{\leq 0}(Ab)$ of abelian groups in degrees ≤ 0 is equivalent to the homotopy category $Ho(Pic_\omega)$.*

3.3 Useful Facts for Picard ω-categories

Lemma 3.10 shows that if A is a subcategory of B, and B can be extended to an ω-category $\mathcal{C}$, then A can be extended to an ω-sub-category of $\mathcal{C}$.

Lemma 3.10. *Let A and B be 1-categories with A a subcategory of B. If there is an ω-category $\mathcal{C}$ such that $(\mathcal{C}_1, s_0, t_0, *_0) = B$, then there is an ω-subcategory $\mathcal{C}'$ of $\mathcal{C}$ such that $(\mathcal{C}'_1, s_0, t_0, *_0) = A$.*

Proof. Define $Ob(\mathcal{C}') = \{c \in Ob(\mathcal{C}) \mid s_1c \ , \ t_1c \in \mathcal{C}'_1\}$. We show that $\mathcal{C}'$ is an ω-category by first showing that it is stable under all source and target maps and then showing that it is closed under composition. If $x \in Ob(\mathcal{C}')$ and $j > 1$, $\rho_1\sigma_j x = \rho_1 x \in \mathcal{C}'_1$. If $j = 1$, $\rho_1\sigma_j x = \sigma_j x \in \mathcal{C}'_1$. Finally, if $j = 0$, $\rho_1\sigma_0 x = \sigma_0 x = \sigma_0\rho_1 x$. Since A is a category, $\sigma_0\rho_1 x \in A_0 = \mathcal{C}'_0 \subset \mathcal{C}'_1$. This shows that $\mathcal{C}'$ is stable under maps $\sigma_j \in \{s_j, t_j\}$.

Now suppose $x, y \in \mathcal{C}'$. If $i \geq 1$, $s_1(x *_i y) = s_1 y \in \mathcal{C}'$, and $t_1(x *_i y) = t_1 x \in \mathcal{C}'$. If $i = 0$, $\rho_1(x *_i y) = \rho_1 x *_0 \rho_1 y \in A \subset \mathcal{C}'$ since A is a category. This shows that $\mathcal{C}'$ is stable under all compositions $*_i$. Clearly $\mathcal{C}'$ is an ω-category because all other necessary properties are inherited from $\mathcal{C}$. ☐

In [43], Street constructs an ω-category Cat_∞ such that $((Cat_\infty)_1, s_0, t_0, *_0) = \omega Cat$ so that ω-Cat is a category enriched over itself. The construction is natural since it comes from an inner hom in ωCat. Lemma 3.10 can be applied to Pic_ω: there is an ω-category $\mathcal{C}$ such that $(\mathcal{C}_1, s_0, t_0, *_0) = Pic_\omega$. In fact, we see in sections 8.3 and 3.4 that there is more than one ω-category which has Pic_ω as its 0-objects and 1-morphisms.

We now make the observation that for $A \in Pic_\omega$, since there is a section of $A_i \longrightarrow A_i/A_{i-1}$ sending $[x]$ to $x - s_{i-1}x$. It follows that $A_i \simeq A_i/A_{i-1} \oplus A_{i-1}$ as abelian groups. Moreover,

$$A \simeq A_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i/A_{i-1}$$

With this identification, for $x \in A_k/A_{k-1}$,

$$s_n x = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } n \geq k \\ 0 & \text{if } n < k \end{cases}$$

$$t_n x = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } n \geq k \\ dx & \text{if } n = k-1 \\ 0 & \text{if } n < k-1 \end{cases}$$

This can be written explicitly as $s_n : (x_0, ..., x_m, ...) \mapsto (x_0, ...x_n, 0, 0, ...)$ and $t_n : (x_0, ..., x_m, ...) \mapsto (x_0, ..., x_{n-1}, x_n + dx_{n+1}, 0, 0, ...)$, where $d = t_n - s_n$.
There are several possible identifications of A with $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i/A_{i-1}$. It is perhaps most transparent if $A = P(C)$ for $C \in Ch^+(Ab)$, so $C^i \simeq A_i/A_{i-1}$, and $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} C^i \longrightarrow A$ is given by $(x_0, x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, 0, 0, ...) \mapsto ((x_0, x_0 + dx_1), (x_1, x_1 + dx_2), ..., (x_{n-1}, x_{n-1} + dx_n), (x_n, x_n), (0, 0), ...)$.

Proposition 3.11. *There is a functor* $[-1] : Pic_\omega \longrightarrow Pic_\omega$ *such that for* $C \in Ch^+(Ab)$, $P(C[-1]) = (P(C))[-1]$, *where* $C[-1]$ *denotes the complex* $... \longrightarrow C^1 \longrightarrow C^0 \longrightarrow 0 \in Ch^+(Ab)$ *with* $C[-1]^n = C^{n-1}$ *for* $n > 0$ *and* $C[-1]_0 = 0$. *For* $A \in Pic_\omega$, *we define* $A[-1]$ *by letting* $Ob(A[-1]) = Ob(A)$, $(*[-1]_n = *_{n-1}, s[-1]_n = s_{n-1}, t[-1]_n = t_{n-1})$ *for all* $n \geq 1$, $s[-1]_0 = t[-1]_0 = 0$, *and* $x *_0 y = x + y$.

Proof. That $A[-1] \in Pic_\omega$ is evident. If $A = P(C)$, there is a bijection between $Ob(PC[-1])$ and $Ob((PC)[-1])$. For $((x_0^-, x_0^+), (x_1^-, x_1^+), ..., (x_{n-1}^-, x_{n-1}^+)) \in (PC)[-1]_n$ maps to $((0, 0), (x_0^-, x_0^+), (x_1^-, x_1^+), ...)$. ☐

The following proposition is a generalization of a lemma found in [8]

Proposition 3.12. *Let* A *be any abelian group. If* A *admits* $\mathbb{Z}$*-linear maps* $s_n, t_n : A \longrightarrow A$ *for* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *satisfying conditions 1a, 2a, and 2b of definition 1, then there is a unique* ω*-category structure on* A *such that* $A \in Pic_\omega$.

Proof. If $a, b \in A$ such that $s_n a = t_n b$, then we define $a *_n b = a + b - s_n a$ and check that this makes A into a Picard ω-category. If compositions satisfy all ωCat axioms, then it is easily seen that $+$ is a functor, i.e. $(a + b) *_n (a' + b') = (a *_n a') + (b *_n b')$, whenever the right-hand side is defined. We can easily check that $(a + b) *_n (a' + b') = a + b + a' + b' - s_n(a + b) = a + b + a' + b' - s_n a - s_n b = (a *_n a') + (b *_n b')$. Thus, it suffices to check that A satisfies all ω-category axioms of Definition 1.

(1b) $a *_n s_n(a) = a + s_n a - s_n a = a$, and $t_n a *_n a = t_n a + a - s_n t_n a = t_n a + a - t_n a = a$.

(1d) $s_n(a *_n b) = s_n(a + b - s_n a) = s_n a + s_n b - s_n a = s_n b$, and similarly, $t_n(a *_n b) = t_n(a + b - s_n a) = t_n a + t_n b - s_n a = t_n a$ since $t_n b = s_n a$.

(1c) $(a *_n b) *_n c = (a + b - s_n a) + c - s_n(a *_n b) = a + b + c - s_n a - s_n b$, whereas $a *_n (b *_n c) = a + (b + c - s_n b) = s_n a$.

(2c) $\rho_j(a *_i b) = \rho_j(a + b - s_i a) = \rho_j a + \rho_j b - \rho_j s_i a = \rho_j a + \rho_j b - s_i(\rho_j a) = (\rho_j a) *_i (\rho_j b)$ since $j > i$.

(2d) $(a *_j b) *_i (\alpha *_j \beta) = (a + b - s_j a) + (\alpha + \beta - s_j \alpha) - s_i(a + b - s_j a) = a + b + \alpha + \beta - s_j a - s_j \alpha - s_i a - s_i b + s_i a = a + b + \alpha + \beta - s_j a - s_j \alpha - s_i b$. On the right-hand side we have $(a *_i \alpha) *_j (b *_i \beta) = (a + \alpha - s_i a) + (b + \beta - s_i b) - s_j(a *_i \alpha) = a + b + \alpha + \beta - s_i a - s_i b - (s_j a *_i s_j \alpha) = a + b + \alpha + \beta - s_i a - s_i b - (s_j a + s_j \alpha - s_i a) = a + b + \alpha + \beta - s_j a - s_j \alpha - s_i b$. ☐

For a set A, we let $\mathbb{Z}[A]$ denote the free abelian group on the set A. If $A \in \omega Cat$, we can extend all source and target maps $\mathbb{Z}$-linearly. Proposition 3.12 implies that $\mathbb{Z}[A] \in Pic_\omega$.

Lemma 3.13. *The functor* $\mathbb{Z} : \omega Cat \longrightarrow Pic_\omega$ *sending* A *to the free abelian group generated by* A *is left-adjoint to the forgetful functor* $\mathcal{F}_\omega : Pic_\omega \longrightarrow \omega Cat$.

Proof. Let $A \in \omega Cat$ and $B \in Pic_\omega$. Any $\varphi \in Hom_{\omega Cat}(A, \mathcal{F}_\omega(B))$ can be extended $\mathbb{Z}$-linearly to a map $\hat{\varphi} \in Hom_{Ab}(\mathbb{Z}[A], B))$ of abelian groups. The fact that all s_n and t_n are $\mathbb{Z}$-linear means that $\hat{\varphi}$ commutes with all source and target maps. But since composition $*_n$ in a Picard ω-category is determined by all $+$, s_n, t_n, $\hat{\varphi}$ also respects compositions $*_n$. Therefore $\hat{\varphi} \in Hom_{Pic_\omega}(\mathbb{Z}[A], B)$. It is clear that the function $\varphi \mapsto \hat{\varphi}$ is injective. To see that it is surjective, any $\psi \in Hom_{Pic_\omega}(\mathbb{Z}[A], B)$ is also a map of abelian groups, so it comes from some $\varphi \in Hom_{Sets}(Ob(A), Ob(F(B)))$. Of course since $Ob(A) \subset Ob(\mathbb{Z}[A])$, $\varphi(a) = \psi(a)$ and so φ is actually a map of ω-categories and $\hat{\varphi} = \psi$. The inverse map is $\psi \mapsto \psi_{|A}$.

To check that G and F are adjoints, we must also see that we have a map of functors $Hom_{Pic_\omega}(\mathbb{Z}[-], -) \xrightarrow{\sim} Hom_{\omega Cat}(-, F-)$. In other words, for $f \in Hom_{\omega Cat}(A, A')$ and $g \in Hom_{Pic_\omega}(B, B')$, then for $\psi \in Hom_{Pic_\omega}(\mathbb{Z}[A'], B)$, the maps $(g \circ \psi \circ \mathbb{Z}[-])_{|A} = F(g) \circ (\psi_{|A'} \circ f \in Hom_{\omega Cat}(A, F(B'))$. It is easy to see that these maps agree at the level of sets. $\square$

In [43] it is shown that $Ob : \omega Cat \longrightarrow Set$ is represented by an object $2_\omega \in \omega Cat$.

Corollary 3.14. *$\mathbb{Z}[2_\omega]$ is a corepresentative for the functor $Ob : Pic_\omega \longrightarrow Sets$.*

Proof. $Hom_{Pic_\omega}(\mathbb{Z}[2_\omega], B) = Hom_{\omega Cat}(2_\omega, \mathcal{F}_\omega(B)) = Ob(\mathcal{F}_\omega(B)) = Ob(B)$. $\square$

3.4 I-categories

Since the structure maps for an ω-category are indexed by natural numbers, we may think of an ω-category as an $\mathbb{N}$-category. The ω-category axioms depended only on $\mathbb{N}$ being a partially ordered set. We may therefore extend the definition and define an I-category for any partially ordered set I. In particular, we are interested in $\mathbb{Z}$-categories and show that "nice" abelian group objects in $\mathbb{Z}$-categories are the same as unbounded chain complexes of abelian groups.

Definition 6. Let I be a linearly ordered set.

1. An *I-category* is a set quadruple $(A, s_i, t_i, *_i)_{i \in I}$ as in Definition 1 except that instead of $\mathbb{N}$, we have I. Let I-Cat denote the category of all I-categories.
2. Let Pic_I denote abelian group objects in I-cat, and let Pic_I^0 denote the full subcategory, called Picard I-categories, the objects of which are $A \in Pic_I$ such that for all $x \in A$, there exists $n \in I$ such that $s_n x = 0$ and there exists $m \in I$ for which $s_m x = x$.

We can extend some of the results about ω-categories to $\mathbb{Z}$-categories. Theorem 3.7, for instance, can be extended to $\mathbb{Z}$-categories.

Definition 7. A functor $F : A \longrightarrow B$ of $\mathbb{Z}$-categories is an equivalence if conditions 2b and 2c of Definition 4 are met and for each $b \in B$, there exists n such that $s_n b$ is isomorphic to some $F(a)$.

It follows directly from the definition of $Pic_{\mathbb{Z}}^0$ that any map of Picard $\mathbb{Z}$-categories which satisfies conditions 2b and 2c of Definition 4 is an equivalence. In fact, since Picard $\mathbb{Z}$-categories are groupoids, condition 2b of Definition 4 is sufficient.

Theorem 3.15. *The category $Ch(\mathcal{A}b)$ of chain complexes of abelian groups is equivalent to the category $Pic_{\mathbb{Z}}^0$ of Picard $\mathbb{Z}$-categories.*

Proof. The proofs of Proposition 3.2, Lemma 3.5, 3.6, and Theorem 3.7 extend naturally to $\mathbb{Z}$-categories with only a few modifications. First we define $P(A)$ to consist of sequences $(....(x_i^-, x_i^+), ...)$ as before but require that only finitely many $x_i^\pm$ are nonzero. Secondly, in lemma 3.6, to show the surjectivity of $\phi : A \longrightarrow PQ(A)$, we choose $y \in PQ(A)$ and such that $y_i^\pm = 0$ for $i \leq n \in \mathbb{Z}$. To show that y is in the image of ϕ, we start the induction at n instead of 0. Also, we note that $\mu(x)$ of Lemma 3.6 is well defined except for when $x = 0$. $\square$

Letting $Ho(Pic_{\mathbb{Z}}^0)$ denote Picard $\mathbb{Z}$-categories localized at equivalences, we arrive at the following corollary, the proof of which is identical to the proof of Proposition 3.8.

Corollary 3.16. *The derived category DAb of abelian groups is equivalent to the homotopy category of $Pic_{\mathbb{Z}}^0$.*

The following results about ω-cats also extend to $\mathbb{Z}$-categories: Prop 3.2, Proposition 3.8. Also, the equivalence $P : Ch(Ab) \longrightarrow Pic_{\mathbb{Z}}^0$ is, just as for Picard ω-categories, isomorphic to the one that sends $A \in Ch(Ab)$ to $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} A^n \in Pic_{\mathbb{Z}}^0$. The following proposition is patent.

Proposition 3.17. *$Pic^0_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a triangulated category with shift functor given as in Proposition 3.11. This gives $DPic^0_{\mathbb{Z}}$ the structure of a triangulated category. The mapping cone of $f : A \longrightarrow B$ is $(B[-1] \times A, s_n = s^B_{n-1} \times s^A_n, t_n = t^B_{n-1} \times (f + t^A_n))$. The t-structure coming from the standard t-structure on $Ch(Ab)$ is $D^{\geq 0} = Ho(Pic_\omega)$, $D^{\leq 0} = \{A \in Pic^0_{\mathbb{Z}} \mid s_n = id, t_n = id \text{ for all } n > 0\}$. Its heart is $\{A \in Pic_\omega \mid \text{ all } \sigma_n = id\} \simeq Ab$.*

Remark 3.18. The ω-category structure on $Ch(Ab)$ induced from Theorem 3.15 is given in the following way. 1-objects are maps of complexes. Strict 2-objects are maps between maps of complexes $F, G : A \longrightarrow B$, i.e. $\phi : F \Longrightarrow G$ is a map $\phi \in Hom(A[-1], B)$ such that $d\phi = G - F$. etc.

Remark 3.19. Proposition 3.17 is just another way to say that the category of abelian group spectra is equivalent to $Ch(Ab)$. The derived version also holds from this point of view, as was shown by Shipley [40].

3.5 ω-categories in a category $\mathcal{C}$

Just as an ω-category can be viewed as an ω-category in sets and Pic_ω consists of ω-categories in abelian groups, we can define ω-categories in any category $\mathcal{C}$ with fibered products, and when $\mathcal{C}$ is abelian, we generalize Theorem 3.7.

Definition 8. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be any category with fibered products. An ω-category in $\mathcal{C}$ is an object X of $\mathcal{C}$ with maps s_n, $t_n : X \longrightarrow X$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and compositions $X \times_X X \xrightarrow{*_n} X$ satisfying the axioms in definition 1 (where $X \times_X X$ is the fibered product with respect to t_n and s_n). Morphisms between ω-categories in $\mathcal{C}$ are simply morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$ commuting with all source, target, and composition maps. We denote the category of ω-categories in $\mathcal{C}$ by $\mathcal{C}_\omega$.

Lemma 3.20. *For any abelian category $\mathcal{C}$ with infinite direct sums, $Ch^+(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathcal{C}_\omega$ are equivalent.*

Proof. We sketch a proof and leave the details to the reader. First, we define a functor $P : Ch^+(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_\omega$ as follows. Let A be a complex in $Ch^+(\mathcal{C})$, and let $P(A) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^\infty A^i$.

We must show that $B = P(A)$ is an ω-category in $\mathcal{C}$. We define source and target maps s_n, t_n : $\bigoplus_{n=0}^\infty A^i \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{n=0}^\infty A^i$ as follows. First, let $s^{i,j}_n$, $t^{i,j}_n : A^i \longrightarrow A^j$ be given by

$$s^{i,j}_n := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \geq i = j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$t^{i,j}_n := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \geq i = j \\ d & \text{if } n = j = i - 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now, let s^i_n be the sum over j of the compositions $A^i \xrightarrow{s^{i,j}_n} A^j \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{k=0}^\infty A^k$ and similarly for t^i_n. The morphisms s^i_n, $t^i_n : A^i \longrightarrow PA$, $i \geq 0$, determine s_n, t_n. Defining composition as $*_n = \pi_2 + \pi_1 - s_n\pi_1$, one may verify that $(*_n, t_n, s_n)_{n \geq 0}$ satisfies conditions of Definition 1. For condition (2d) of Definition 1, the statement for ω-categories in $\mathcal{C}$ should read: $*_i(*_j\pi_1 \times *_j\pi_2) = *_j(*_i\pi_1 \times *_i\pi_2)((\pi_1\pi_1 \times \pi_1\pi_2) \times (\pi_2\pi_1 \times \pi_2\pi_2))$ when restricted to the appropriate subobject of $(B \times B) \times (B \times B)$. Our definition of composition $*_n$ can be extended to $B \times B \longrightarrow B$, and one may check that $*_i(*_j\pi_1 \times *_j\pi_2)$ agrees with $*_j(*_i\pi_1 \times *_i\pi_2)((\pi_1\pi_1 \times \pi_1\pi_2) \times (\pi_2\pi_1 \times \pi_2\pi_2))$ on $(B \times B) \times (B \times B)$. Hence, they also agree on the appropriate fibered product. Therefore, B is an ω-category in $\mathcal{C}$.

Let $B = P(A)$, $D = P(C)$ for $A, C \in Ch^+(\mathcal{C})$. The fact that $Hom_{\mathcal{C}_\omega}(B, D) \simeq Hom_{Ch^+(\mathcal{C})}(A, C)$ follows easily from a few observations. Let f be a morphism from B to D. First, since $fs_n = s_nf$, an inductive proof shows that $f(A^i) \longrightarrow D$ factors through $C^i \longrightarrow D$. The fact that f commutes with all t_n shows that the induced maps $A^i \longrightarrow C^i$ commute with the differentials $A^i \xrightarrow{d} A^{i-1}$ and $C^i \xrightarrow{d} C^{i-1}$. We conclude that a morphism $f : B \longrightarrow D$ is equivalent to a morphism from A to C in $Ch^+(\mathcal{C})$. Therefore, P is fully faithful.

We now show that P is essentially surjective. Define $Q : \mathcal{C}_\omega \longrightarrow Ch^+(\mathcal{C})$ as follows. Given $B \in \mathcal{C}_\omega$, let $A = Q(B)$ be given by letting A^n be the cokernel B_n/B_{n-1} of the monomorphism $B_{n-1} \longrightarrow B_n$, where B_n is the image of $s_n : B \longrightarrow B$. The morphism $t_{n-1} - s_{n-1} : B_n \longrightarrow B_{n-1}$ induces a morphism from $B_n/B_{n-1} \longrightarrow B_{n-1}$, and we denote the composition with $B_{n-1} \longrightarrow B_{n-1}/B_{n-2}$ by $d = A^n \longrightarrow A^{n-1}$. Let us see that $PQB \simeq B$. Let $f : B_n \longrightarrow B_n$ be $f = 1 - s_{n-1}$. Then f induces a morphism $\overline{f} : B_n/B_{n-1} \longrightarrow B_n$ such that $\pi \overline{f} = 1$. Hence, $B_n \simeq B_n/B_{n-1} \oplus B_{n-1}$. It is now clear that $PQB \simeq B$. $\square$

4 The Dold-Kan Correspondence

We begin by laying out basic definitions and notations which can be found in any standard text on the subject, such as [18]. Let Δ denote the category of ordinals, with objects $[n] = \{0, 1, ..., n\}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and morphisms the (non-strictly) increasing set morphisms between them. A *simplicial set* is a functor $X : \Delta^{op} \longrightarrow Set$. We define *r-simplices* in a simplicial set X to be the set $X_r := X([r])$. We let Δ^n denote the simplicial set $Hom_\Delta(-, [n])$ and denote an r-simplex $\alpha : [r] \longrightarrow [n]$ by listing $(\alpha(0), \alpha(1), ..., (\alpha(r))$. For a simplicial set X, we let d_i, s_i denote the face and degeneracy maps $X(\partial_i)$ and $X(\sigma_i)$ respectively, where $[n-1] \xrightarrow{\partial_i} [n]$ is the morphism which skips only i, and $\sigma_i : [n] \longrightarrow [n-1]$ is the morphism which repeats only i. For a category $\mathcal{C}$, a *simplicial object in* $\mathcal{C}$ is a functor from Δ^{op} to $\mathcal{C}$, and the category of simplicial objects in $\mathcal{C}$ is denoted simply by $s\mathcal{C}$. A *simplicial abelian group* is a simplicial object in the category *Ab* of abelian groups.

The Dold-Kan correspondence was discovered independently by Dold and Kan and can be found originally in [13] as well as a number of other references such as [18], [49].

Theorem 4.1. *If $\mathcal{C}$ is an abelian category, there is an equivalence $K : s\mathcal{C} \longrightarrow Ch^+(\mathcal{C})$.*

$K : s\mathcal{C} \longrightarrow Ch^+(\mathcal{C})$ is given by $K(A)_n = \bigcap_{i=0}^{n-1} Ker d_i$, and the differential $d : K(A)_n \longrightarrow K(A)_{n-1}$ is $d = (-1)^n d_n$. We will be particularly interested in the case when $\mathcal{C} = Ab$ or sheaves of abelian groups on some site.

4.1 ω-categories and quasicategories

To extend the idea of the nerve of an ordinary category, Street defines in [43] the nerve of an ω-category, which defines a functor $N : \omega Cat \longrightarrow sSet$. We first review some background on parity complexes and the Street-Roberts conjecture. The results presented in this section are a summary of some of the results in [47]. The original nerve construction is can be found in [43], and the ideas were streamlined using the language of parity complexes in [44, 45].

4.1.1 Basics of Parity Complexes

Definition 9. A *pre-parity* is a graded set $C = \bigsqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n$ and a pair of operations sending $x \in C_n$ to $x^- \subset C_{n-1}$ and $x^+ \subset C_{n-1}$, called negative and positive faces of x respectively. If $x \in C_0$, we take $x^- = x^+ = \emptyset$ by convention. We also say that for $x \in C_n$, a face $a \in x^-$ has parity 1 (odd) and $a \in x^+$ has parity 0 (even). Elements in C_n are said to be n-dimensional.

A *Parity complex* is a pre-parity complex satisfying some additional axioms delineated in [47, 44]. The additional technical assumptions do not conern us because the pre-parity complexes which we deal with here are all parity complexes.

For a parity complex C and $S \subset C$, let $|S|_n = \bigcup_{k=0}^{n} S_k$, where $S_k = S \cap C_k$. For $S \subset C$ and $\xi \in \{+, -\}$, let $S^\xi = \bigcup_{x \in S} x^\xi$, and let $S^\mp = S^- \setminus S^+$ and $S^\pm = S^+ \setminus S^-$.

If C is a graded set, we let $\mathcal{N}(C)$ denote the ω-category with underlying set $\{(M, P) \mid M, P \text{ are finite subsets of } C\}$. Source, target and compositions are given by

- $s_n(M,P) = (|M|_n, M_n \cup |P|_{n-1})$
- $t_n(M,P) = (|M|_{n-1} \cup P_n, |P|_n)$
- $(N,Q) *_n (M,P) = (M \cup (N \setminus N_n), Q \cup (P \setminus P_n)$.

There is another ω-category $\mathcal{O}(C)$ attained from a parity complex C, which we will now describe. For a parity complex C and subsets $S, T \subset C$, we say that $S \perp T$ if $(S^+ \cap T^+) \cup (S^- \cap T^-) = \emptyset$. Another way to express this is to say that $S \perp T$ if S and T have no common faces of the same parity. A subset S of C is called *well-formed* if it has at most one 0-dimensional element and for distinct elements $x, y \in S$, $x \perp y$. Define $\mathcal{O}(C)$ to be the subcategory of $\mathcal{N}(C)$ consisting of all $(M,P) \in \mathcal{N}(C)$ such that M and P are both non-empty, well-formed subsets of C, $P = (M \cup M^+) \setminus M^- = (M \cup P^+) \setminus P^-$, and $M = (P \cup M^-) \setminus M^+ = (P \cup P^-) \setminus P^+$. It is not immediately clear that $\mathcal{O}(C)$ is an ω-category. However, the work in [43, 44] demonstrates that it is.

For a parity complex C, there are distinguished elements of $\mathcal{O}(C)$. Let $x \in C_n$. We inductively define subsets $\pi(x),\ \mu(x) \subset C$. Let $\pi(x)_m = \mu(x)_m = \emptyset$ for $m > n$, let $\pi(x)_m = \mu(x)_m = \{x\}$ for $m = n$, and let $\mu(x)_m = \mu(x)^{\mp}_{m+1}$ and $\pi(x)_m = \pi(x)^{\pm}_{m+1}$ for $0 \leq m < n$. Then the element $< x > := (\mu(x), \pi(x)) \in \mathcal{O}(C)$ is called an *atom*. Let $< C > = \{< x > \mid x \in C\}$. Street proved [43, 44] that $< C >$ freely generates $\mathcal{O}(C)$ in the sense defined below. First we introduce some notation. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $B \in \omega Cat$, let $|B|_n$ denote the n-category $(s_n B, *_i, s_i, t_i)_{0 \leq i \leq n}$.

Definition 10. Let A be an ω-category and G a subset of its elements, with grading $G_n = G \cap A_n$.

1. A is *freely generated* by G if for all ω-categories B, all functors $f : |A|_n \longrightarrow B$ of ω-categories and maps of sets $g : G_{n+1} \longrightarrow B$ such that $s_n g(x) = f(s_n x)$ and $t_n g(x) = f(t_n x)$ for all $x \in G_{n+1}$, there exists a unique functor $\hat{f} : |A|_{n+1} \longrightarrow B$ of ω-categories such that $\hat{f}_{||A|_n} = f$ and $f_{|G_{n+1}} = g$.
2. A is *generated* by G if for each $n \geq 0$, $|A|_{n+1}$ is the smallest sub-ω-category of A containing $|A|_n \cup G_{n+1}$.

If A is freely generated by G, then A is generated by G ([47]).

For Parity complexes C, D, a map of sets $f : C \longrightarrow D$ which respects the grading induces a morphism $\mathcal{N}(f) : \mathcal{N}(C) \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}(D)$, sending (M,P) to $(f(M), f(P))$. Let us consider only graded maps of sets $f : C \longrightarrow D$ such that

- for all $x \in C_0$, $f(x) \subset D_0$ is a singleton set, and
- for all $n \geq 0$ and $x \in C_{n+1}$, $f(x)$ is well formed, $f(x^+) = (f(x^-) \cup f(x)^+) \setminus f(x)^-$, and $f(x^-) = (f(x^+) \cup f(x)^-) \setminus f(x)^+$.

Parity complexes together with graded set maps $f : C \longrightarrow D$ with these two properties form a category *Parity* of parity complexes. The two conditions are chosen so that the functor $\mathcal{N} : \textit{Graded Sets} \longrightarrow \omega Cat$ restricts to a functor $\mathcal{O} : \textit{Parity} \longrightarrow \omega Cat$.

Of particular interest are the parity complexes $\tilde{\Delta}^n$, which we now define. r-dimensional elements of $\tilde{\Delta}^n$ are subsets $\underline{v} = \{v_0 < v_1 < \ldots < v_r\}$ of $[n] := \{0, 1, \ldots n\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ of size $r+1$. We will often denote such a $\underline{v} \in \tilde{\Delta}^n_r$ by $(v_0 v_1 \ldots v_r)$. The i-th face of $\underline{v} \in \tilde{\Delta}^n_r$, denoted $\delta_i \underline{v} = \{v_0, ..v_{i-1}, \hat{v}_i, v_{i+1}, \ldots, v_r\} \in \tilde{\Delta}^n_{r+1}$, where $\hat{v}_i$ denotes omission of v_i. Now define the face operators $\underline{v}^\xi = \{\delta_i \underline{v} \mid i \in [r]$ and i is of parity $\xi\}$ for $\xi \in \{+,-\}$. A morphism $[n] \xrightarrow{\alpha} [n]$ in Δ induces a morphism $\tilde{\Delta}(\alpha) : \tilde{\Delta}^m \longrightarrow \tilde{\Delta}^m$ sending $\underline{v} \in \tilde{\Delta}^m_r$ to $\emptyset$ if $\alpha v_i = \alpha v_{i+1}$ for some i and to $\alpha \underline{v} = \{\alpha v_0, \ldots, \alpha v_r\}$ otherwise. Thus, $\tilde{\Delta}$ is a functor from Δ to *Parity*, and we obtain the composition $\Delta \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Delta}} \textit{Parity} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} \omega Cat$. The ω-category $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}^n)$ is called the n-th oriental and has a unique non-identity n-morphism $\langle (01..n) \rangle$. For a morphism $[m] \xrightarrow{\alpha} [n]$ in Δ, $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}(\alpha))$ maps $\langle \underline{v} \rangle$ to $\langle \alpha \underline{v} \rangle$.

The product of parity complexes was shown in [44] to be a parity complex. For parity complexes C, D, let $(C \times D)_n = \bigcup_{p+q=n} C_p \times D_q$, and for $\xi \in \{+,-\}$, $(x,y)^\xi = x^\xi \times \{y\} \cup \{x\} \times y^{\xi(p}$, where $\xi(p) = \xi$ if p is even and has the opposite parity of p is odd.

4.1.2 The Nerve of an ω-Category and the Street-Roberts Conjecture

In [43], Street defines the nerve functor $N : \omega Cat \longrightarrow sSet$ with left adjoint F_ω. The nerve of an ω-category A consists of composing $\mathcal{O}\tilde{\Delta}$ with the Yoneda embedding $\omega Cat \longrightarrow Set$. More explicitly, The n-simplices of NA are $Hom_{\omega Cat}(\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}^n), A)$. For an n-simplex $x : \mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}^n) \longrightarrow A$ and morphism $\alpha : [m] \longrightarrow [n]$ in Δ, $\alpha^* x \in NA_m$ is the composition of x with $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}(\alpha))$. The left-adjoint $F_\omega : sSet \longrightarrow \omega Cat$ is the left Kan extension of $\mathcal{O} \circ \tilde{\Delta} : \Delta \longrightarrow \omega Cat$ along the Yoneda embedding $Y : \Delta \longrightarrow sSet$. For a simplicial set X, $F_\omega(X)$ is characterized by the following property. For each n-simplex $x \in X_n$, there is a a functor $\iota_x : \mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}^n) \longrightarrow F_\omega(X)$ of ω-categories such that for any morphism $\alpha : [m] \longrightarrow [n]$ in Δ, $\iota_{\alpha^* x} = \iota_x \circ \mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}(\alpha))$, and for any other ω-category A with such a family of maps $j_x : \mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}^n) \longrightarrow A$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in X_n$, j factors through ι. For $X \in sSet$ and $x \in X_n$, let $\llbracket x \rrbracket = \iota_x(\langle 01..n \rangle)$.

To get an idea of what the nerve of an ω-category looks like, an n-simplex of NA looks like a drawing of an n-simplex in the ω category A, meaning an n-simplex labeled with an n-morphism in A and k-dimensional faces are labeled with k-morphisms in A. It is an easy exercise to check that $NA_0 = A_0$, $NA_1 = A_1$. A 2-simplex $x \in NA_2$ is a functor of ω-categories $x : \mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}^2) \longrightarrow A$, which consists of a 0-objects $x(\langle 0 \rangle)$, $x(\langle 1 \rangle)$, $x(\langle 2 \rangle) \in A_0$, 1-morphisms $x(\langle i \rangle) \overset{x(\langle ij \rangle)}{\longrightarrow} x(\langle j \rangle)$ in A_1 for $i, j \in [2]$, and a 2-morphism $x(\langle 012 \rangle) \in A_2$ such that $s_1 x(\langle 012 \rangle) = x(\langle 02 \rangle)$ and $t_1 x(\langle 012 \rangle) = x(\langle 12 \rangle) *_0 x(\langle 01 \rangle)$.

When we restrict to Pic_ω, Theorem 4.5 guarantees that $N : Pic_\omega \longrightarrow sAb$ is an equivalence. In general, however, $N : \omega Cat \longrightarrow sSet$ is not an equivalence. The problem is that viewing an ω-category as a simplicial set by taking its nerve loses some information. The simplicial set no longer remembers which n-simplices represent identity morphisms and so it forgets how to compose morphisms. To remedy this situation, in [43, 36], Street and Roberts modify the modify the nerve construction to take values in the category Cs of "complicial sets." A complicial set is a simplicial set X together with a collection of simplices tX called thin simplices which satisfy certain axioms. To name a few,

- No 0-simplex of X is in tX,
- the only 1-simplices in tX are degenerate 1-simplices,
- the degenerate simplices of X are in tX,
- and for each $(n-1)$-dimensional k-horn for $n \geq 2$, $0 < k < n$ has a unique thin filler.

The other properties can be found in [47]. A morphism of complicial sets $f : (X, tX) \longrightarrow (Y, tY)$ is a morphism $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ of simplicial sets such that $f(tX) \subset tY$.

Remark 4.2. Complicial sets is a full subcategory of a larger category *Strat* of *stratified sets* whose objects are pairs (X, tX) but which are not required to satisfy all of the axioms listed above for complicial sets. Morhphisms, of course, are simply morphisms of simplicial sets which preserve thin simplices. There is a natural way of taking the product $\otimes$ of two stratified sets, where the underlying simplicial set of $X \otimes Y$ is $X \times Y$. For instance, the thin r-simplices in $\Delta^n \otimes \Delta^1$ are the simplices $(x, y) \in \Delta^n_r \times \Delta^1_r$ such that x is degenerate at some $0 \leq j < r$ and y is degenerate at some $k \geq j$.

The enhanced nerve construction $\mathfrak{N} : \omega Cat \longrightarrow Cs$ sends A to (NA, tNA), where the thin n-simplices in NA are the simplices $x : \mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}^n) \longrightarrow A$ such that $x(\langle 01...n \rangle)$ is an $(n-1)$-morphism. Composing $\mathfrak{N}$ with the forgetful functor $Cs \longrightarrow sSet$ $((X, tX) \mapsto X)$ gives the original nerve construction. The nerve $\mathfrak{N}$ has a left adjoint $\mathfrak{F}_\omega$ so that $\mathcal{F}_\omega((X, tX))$ is attained from $F_\omega(X)$ by "collapsing" morphisms corresponding to thin simplices, a process described in detail in [47]. Theorem 4.3, known as the Street-Roberts conjecture, was proven by Verity in [47].

Theorem 4.3. *$\mathfrak{N} : \omega Cat \longrightarrow Cs$ is an equivalence of categories.*

Remark 4.4. More recently, in [33], Nikolaus defines a model category of *algebraic Kan complexes* similar to the category Cs, which specifies a distinguished filler for each horn. He shows that algebraic Kan complexes is Quillen equivalent to simplicial sets.

4.2 The Dold-Kan Triangle

The Dold-Kan correspondence [13] gives an equivalence between $Ch^+(Ab)$ and sAb, simplicial objects in abelian groups (or equivalently, abelian group objects in $sSet$). Furthermore, sAb and $Ch^+(Ab)$ have model structures. The model structure on sAb is induced by the forgetful functor $U : sAb \longrightarrow sSet$. Specifically, sAb inherits the weak equivalences and fibrations from $sSet$; f is a weak equivalence in sAb if and only if $U(f)$ is a weak equivalence in $sSet$, and f is a fibration in sAb if and only if Uf is a fibration in $sSet$. The model structure on $Ch^+(Ab)$ has quasi-isomorphisms as the weak equivalences, degree-wise epimorphisms (in positive degree) as the fibrations, and degree-wise monomorphisms with projective cokernels as cofibrations. Additionally, Pic_ω inherits a model structure from $Ch^+(Ab)$ via the equivalence $Ch^+(Ab) \longrightarrow Pic_\omega$. The weak-equivalences in Pic_ω are morphisms which are equivalences of the underlying ω-categories. The Dold-Kan correspondence is in fact an equivalence of model categories, as is explained in [38]. We have seen that $Pic_\omega \simeq Ch^+(Ab) \simeq sAb$ as model categories, but also the following theorem of Brown relates these two correspondences in the following way.

Theorem 4.5. *([10], [34]) The composition $N \circ P : Ch^+(Ab) \longrightarrow sAb$ is the same as the Dold-Kan correspondence. In other words, the following diagram commutes up to isomorphism.*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Ch^+(Ab) \arrow[r, "P"] \arrow[d, "D"] & Pic_\omega \arrow[d, "N"] \\
sAb \arrow[r, equal] & sAb
\end{tikzcd}$$

where D denotes the Dold-Kan correspondence.

Since the Dold-Kan correspondence sends $X \in sAb$ to $A^\bullet$, where $A^n = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} Ker d_i$, it is now clear from the comments in section 3.3 that $N^{-1} : sAb \longrightarrow Pic_\omega$ satisfies $N^{-1}(X) \simeq \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \cap_{i=0}^{n-1} Ker d_i$.

In the Dold-Kan correspondence quasi-isomorphisms correspond to weak equivalences in $sSet$, and by Proposition 3.8, quasi-isomorphisms correspond to equivalences of Picard ω-categories. Under the equivalence $N : Pic_\omega \longrightarrow sAb$, equivalences of ω-categories correspond to weak equivalences in sAb. Moreover, upon taking the geometric realization : $|\cdot| : sAb \longrightarrow Top$, the equivalences of ω-categories are identified with weak equivalences of topological spaces. Localizing with respect to weak equivalences, we have an embedding of $Ho(Pic_\omega)$ into the homotopy category of topological spaces.

5 The Dold-Kan Correspondence for Sheaves

Throughout the next two sections, fix an essentially small site $\mathcal{S}$ with enough points equipped with a Grothenieck topology, such as the category of manifolds with the Etale topology or open sets on a fixed manifold X. Henceforth, let "prehseaf" mean a presheaf on $\mathcal{S}$, i.e. a functor from $\mathcal{S}^{op}$ into some category.

5.1 Definitions

Definition 11. For a presheaf F with values in model category $\mathcal{M}$, an object X of $\mathcal{S}$ and an open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}$ of X, let $\check{F}_\mathcal{U}$ denote the cosimplicial diagram

$$\prod F(U_i) \rightrightarrows \prod F(U_{ij}) \Rrightarrow F(U_{ijk})...$$

in $\mathcal{M}$. We write $\check{F} = \check{F}_\mathcal{U}$ when the open cover is understood. We say that F satisfies *Čech descent with respect to* $\mathcal{U}$ if the natural map $F(X) \longrightarrow holim \check{F}_\mathcal{U}$ is a weak equivalence in $\mathcal{M}$. We say that F satisfies *Čech descent* if F satisfies Čech descent with respect to all objects $X \in \mathcal{S}$ and all open covers $\mathcal{U}$ of X.

Let X be an object of $\mathcal{S}$, which we think of as a discrete presheaf of simplicial sets. The concept of *hypercover* $U\longrightarrow X$ is defined precisely in [14]. Informally, we may think of it as a resolution of a Čech cover of X. Notice that for a hypercover $U\longrightarrow X$, and presheaf F with values in model category $\mathcal{M}$, $F(U)$ is a cosimplicial diagram in $\mathcal{M}$ since U is a simplicial diagram in $\mathcal{S}$, and we have a morphism $F(X)\longrightarrow F(U)$ in $\mathcal{M}^{\Delta}$, where X is considered as a constant diagram.

Definition 12. Let $U\longrightarrow X$ be a hypercover and F be a presheaf with values in model category $\mathcal{M}$. We say that F satisfies *descent with respect to* $U\longrightarrow X$ if $F(X)\longrightarrow holimF(U)$ is a weak equivalence in $\mathcal{M}$. We say that F satisfies *descent* if it satisfies descent with respect to all hypercovers.

By "simplicial presheaf" we mean a presheaf with values in $sSet$. Let $\tilde{Pre}_{sSet}$ denote simplicial presheaves which are levelwise sheaves of sets (i.e. simplicial objects in sheaves of sets). In general, for a category $\mathcal{C}$, we denote presheaves on $\mathcal{S}$ with values in $\mathcal{C}$ by $Pre_{\mathcal{C}}$, and we let $\check{S}h_{\mathcal{C}}$ denote those presheaves which satisfy Čech descent and $Sh_{\mathcal{C}}$ denote those satisfying descent, provided that $\mathcal{C}$ is a model category. For shorthand we write Pre_{ω} for $Pre_{\omega Cat}$ and $Pre_{\omega Ab}$ for $Pre_{Pic_{\omega}}$.

Remark 5.1. It was shown in [14] that , $\check{S}h_{sSet}$ are the presheaves which satisfy descent for all bounded hypercovers and that there exist presheaves satisfying Čech descent but not descent for all hypercovers.

Proposition 5.2. *For a presheaf F of simplicial abelian groups, F satisfies (Čech) descent if and only if $UF : \mathcal{S}^{op}\longrightarrow sSet$ satisfies (Čech) descent.*

Proof. Let $D : \Delta^{op}\longrightarrow\mathcal{S}$ be a simplicial diagram associated to a Çech complex $\check{C}_{\mathcal{U}}\longrightarrow X$ (i.e. the Čech nerve of an open cover of some $X \in \mathcal{S}$). We know that $F(X)\longrightarrow holim(FD)$ is a weak equivalence if and only if $UF(X)\longrightarrow Uholim(FD)$ is a weak equivalence. We would like to show that $F(X)\longrightarrow holimFD$ is a weak equivalence in sAb if and only if $UF(X)\longrightarrow holim(UFD)$ is a weak equivalence in $sSet$. By the two out of three property of weak equivalences, this is true provided that $Uholim(FD)\longrightarrow holim(UFD)$ is a weak equivalence in $sSet$. Thus, to complete the proof, it suffices to show that $U(holimFD)\longrightarrow holim(UFD)$ is a weak equivalence. Since $U : sAb\longrightarrow sSet$ is the right adjoint in a Quillen pair $(\mathbb{Z}[-], U)$, it naturally follows that for any diagram G in sAb, $U(holimG)\longrightarrow holim(UG)$ is a weak equivalence. ☐

Remark 5.3. Since the category $\mathcal{Ab}$ of sheaves of abelian groups on $\mathcal{S}$ is abelian, the Dold-Kan correspondence provides an equivalences $Ch^{+}(\mathcal{Ab})\longrightarrow\tilde{Pre}_{sAb}$ because simplicial objects in $\mathcal{Ab}$ are the same as $\tilde{Pre}_{sAb}$.

Proposition 5.4. *Neither $\check{S}h_{sAb}$ nor $\tilde{Pre}_{sAb}$ is contained in the other.*

Proof. To see this, consider the following example of a presheaf $F \in Pre_{sSet}$ which satisfies Čech descent but which is not a levelwise presheaf. Let $\mathcal{S} = Op(X)$, open sets on a manifold X, and let A_0 be any non-zero abelian group. Consider the presheaf of abelian groups A such that $A(X) = A_0$ and $A(U) = 0$ if $U \neq X$ and the complex $A^{*} \in C^{+}(\mathcal{Ab})$ which is A in each degree and whose differential is the identity map on A. The corresponding presheaf of simplicial abelian groups satisfies Čech descent but levelwise is not a sheaf of sets.

On the other hand, take any sheaf of abelian groups A and consider the complex $A\longrightarrow 0$ in degrees 1 and 0. The corresponding presheaf $P(A\longrightarrow 0)$ of ω-categories and in fact a presheaf of 1-categories. However, it does not satisfy descent for stacks. Hollander shows in [20] that a stack satisfies descent if and only if its nerve satisfies Čech descent as a simplicial presheaf. Hence, $NP(A\longrightarrow 0)$ is a presheaf of simplicial abelian groups which is levelwise a sheaf but does not satisfy Čech descent, showing that neither condition implies the other. ☐

5.2 Model Structures and Derived Dold-Kan

There are several model structures on simplicial presheaves. There are, of course, the projective and injective model structures [31, 19], which we denote by Pre^{proj}_{sSet}, Pre^{inj}_{sSet} respectively. Weak equivalences are the sectionwise weak equivalences. In the projective model structure, fibrations are the sectionwise fibrations, and in the injective model structure, the cofibrations are the sectionwise cofibrations. For each of these, one can take the left Bousfield localization $Pre^{loc,inj}_{sSet}$ and $Pre^{loc,proj}_{sSet}$ at the hypercovers. The existence of the localalization $Pre^{loc,inj}_{sSet}$ follows from the work of Jardine [25], and the construction of the local projective model structure is due to Blander [3]. The weak equivalences in $Pre^{loc,proj}_{sSet}$ and $Pre^{loc,inj}_{sSet}$ are the stalkwise weak equivalences of simplicial sets since $\mathcal{S}$ has enough points [26]. The important feature of the local model structures is that in $Pre^{loc,inj}_{sSet}$, the fibrant objects are the presheaves which are fibrant in Pre^{inj}_{sSet} and satisfy descent for all hypercovers. Fibrant objects in $Pre^{loc,proj}_{sSet}$ are the ones which are sectionwise Kan complexes and satisfy descent for all hypercovers.

Jardine shows the existence of a model structure on Pre_{sAb} such that a morphism is a weak equivalence or fibration if and only if it is a weak equivalence or fibration in $Pre^{loc,inj}_{sSet}$ [24]. From this, the next two results follow easily. First we see that for any presheaf of simplicial abelian groups, there exists a sheafification (i.e. local fibrant replacement) which is also a presheaf of simplicial abelian groups. The second result states that there is a derived Dold-Kan correspondence.

Lemma 5.5. *Let $U : Pre_{sAb} \longrightarrow Pre_{sSet}$ denote the forgetful functor. For every $X \in Pre_{sAb}$, there is a map $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$ in Pre_{sAb} such that Uf is a weak equivalence and UY is a fibrant object in $Pre^{loc,inj}_{sSet}$ and $Pre^{loc,proj}_{sSet}$.*

Proof. If $X \in Pre_{sAb}$, take a fibrant replacement $X \longrightarrow Y$ for X in Pre_{sAb} in the model structure of [24] described above. $UY \in Pre^{loc,inj}_{sSet}$ is fibrant since $U : Pre_{sAb} \longrightarrow Pre^{loc,inj}_{sSet}$ preserves fibrations. Additionally, since UY is fibrant in $Pre^{loc,inj}_{sSet}$, it satisfies descent for all hypercovers. Since it is a presheaf taking values in sAb, it is sectionwise fibrant. Therefore, UY is also fibrant in $Pre^{loc,proj}_{sSet}$. ☐

Proposition 5.6. *Let P' denote the full subcategory of Pre_{sAb} spanned by objects satisfying descent for hypercovers. Localizing at local weak equivalences, we can form the homotopy categegory $Ho(P')$, and $Ho(P')$ is equivalent to $D^+(\mathcal{A}b)$, the derived category of chain complexes of sheaves of abelian groups in non-negative degrees.*

Proof. First observe that the inclusion $\tilde{P}re_{sAb} \leftrightarrows Pre_{sAb}$ and the levelwise sheafification functors descend to equivalences of homotopy categories since weak equivalences in Jardine's model structure on Pre_{sAb} are the local weak equivalences. Because $Ch^+(\mathcal{A}b)$ is equivalent to $\tilde{P}re_{sAb}$, we need only show that $Ho(Pre_{sAb})$ is equivalent to $Ho(P')$ to complete the proof. Since the forgetful functor $U : Pre_{sAb} \longrightarrow Pre^{proj,loc}_{sSet}$ preserves fibrant objects, as was noted in the proof of Lemma 5.5, the full subcategory P_{cf} of cofibrant fibrant objects is contained in P'. It is easy to check that since $P_{cf} \subset P' \subset Pre_{sAb}$, $Ho(P')$ exists and is equivalent to $Ho(Pre_{sAb})$. ☐

Remark 5.7. Consider the case of a simplicial presheaf on a topological space X. In general it is a stronger requirement on a simplicial presheaf on X to satisfy descent for all hypercovers than it is to satisfy Čech descent. Lurie explains in [31] that if X has finite covering dimension, then the two conditions are the same. However, we are interested in presheaves on manifolds, all of which have finite covering dimension. If we consider sheaves on the site of all differentiable manifolds, then the result is unchanged since we are only considering the hypercovers of [14] rather than the most general hypercovers.

6 Omega Descent

The standard definition of descent, used in [20, 25, 14], is given in Definitions 11 and 12. In this section, however, we describe a glueing condition for presheaves of ω-categories and show that in the case when the presheaf takes values in Pic_ω, it coincides with the homotopy limit descent condition. This is an attempt to expand on the work of Hollander [20], who showed that descent for 1-stacks can be described in a homotopy theoretic way which is consistent with descent for simplicial presheaves. Our definition of the glueing condition is motivated by Breen's description of descent for 2-stacks [6]. The idea is that a sheaf $\mathcal{A}$ of ω-categories on $\mathcal{S}$ satisfies the glueing condition if one can glue 0-objects, 1-objects, and k-objects for any $k \geq 0$.

Informally, glueing of 0-objects has the following meaning. Given an open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of $X \in \mathcal{S}$ the data for glueing of 0-objects consists of 0-objects $a_i \in \mathcal{A}(U_i)_0$ which are identified on intersections via 1-morphisms $a_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}(U_{ij})_1$, $a_{ij} : (a_i)_{|ij} \longrightarrow (a_j)_{|ij}$, the 1-morphisms a_{ij} are identified on triple intersections via 2-morphisms $a_{ijk} : a_{jk} *_0 a_{ij} \Longrightarrow a_{ik}$ and so on. The glueing condition for 0-objects states that for any such system $(\{a_i\}_{i \in I}, \{a_{ij}\}_{i,j \in I}, \{a_{ijk}\}, ...)$, there exists an 0-object $x \in \mathcal{A}(X)$–unique up to isomorphism– with isomorphisms $x_{|U_i} \longrightarrow a_i$ which fit together in a consistent way. In other words, the system can be glued to a global 0-object in an essentially unique way.

For $\mathcal{A}$ to satisfy the glueing condition, it must satisfy the glueing condition for 0-objects, and for each pair of sections $x, y \in \mathcal{A}(X)_k$, the presheaf of ω-categories $Hom^k_{\mathcal{A}}(x, y)$ satisfies the glueing condition for 0-objects.

To make this description formal, Let $\mathcal{Y} : \Delta \longrightarrow sSet$ be the Yoneda embedding. A system $(a_i \in \mathcal{A}(U_i)_0, a_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}(U_{ij})_1, ...)$ as above can be thought of as a morphism $a \in Hom_{sSet^\Delta}(\mathcal{Y}, \check{N}\mathcal{A})$. Here, N is the nerve functor so that $N\mathcal{A}$ is a simplicial presheaf, and $\check{N}\mathcal{A}$ is the diagram from Definition 11. There is a restriction map of the constant diagram $\rho : N\mathcal{A}(X)_{const} \longrightarrow \check{N}\mathcal{A}$. In order to compare $N\mathcal{A}(X)_0$ with, $Hom_{sSet^\Delta}(\mathcal{Y}, \check{N}\mathcal{A})$, we identify $N\mathcal{A}(X)_0$ with $\{F \in Hom_{sSet^\Delta}(\mathcal{Y}, N\mathcal{A}(X)_{const}) \mid F(\Delta^n) = F(\Delta^0) \text{ for all } n\}$, so $N\mathcal{A}(X)_0$ is a subset of $Hom_{sSet^\Delta}(\mathcal{Y}, N\mathcal{A}(X)_{const})$ and ρ maps $N\mathcal{A}(X)_0$ to $Hom_{sSet^\Delta}(\mathcal{Y}, \check{N}\mathcal{A})$.

Remark 6.1. In Street's definition of the descent [46], he defines a descent object $Desc(\check{N}\mathcal{A}) \in \omega Cat$ object of $\mathcal{A} \in Pre_\omega$ with respect to the Čech complex for $\mathcal{U}$. Using the adjunction, $F_\omega : sSet \leftrightarrows \omega Cat : N$, $Hom_{sSet^\Delta}(\mathcal{Y}, \check{N}\mathcal{A})$ is identified with the 0-objects of $Desc(\check{N}\mathcal{A})$.

Remark 6.2. The category of cosimplicial objects in $sSet$ is a simplicial model category [18]. For $X, Y \in sSet^\Delta$, the enrichment over simplicial sets is given by $hom(X, Y)_n = Hom_{sSet^\Delta}(X \times \Delta^n, Y)$, where $hom(X, Y) \in sSet$. For $K \in sSet$, $X \in sSet^\Delta$, $X \times K \in sSet^\Delta$ is $(X \times K)_n = X_n \times K$, and for any $Y \in sSet^\Delta$, $Hom_{sSet^\Delta}(X \times K, Y) \simeq Hom_{sSet}(K, hom(X, Y))$. The homotopy of Definition 13 is really a homotopy $H : \Delta^1 \longrightarrow hom(\mathcal{Y}, \check{N}\mathcal{A})$ between 0-simplices F and ρG in $hom(\mathcal{Y}, \check{N}\mathcal{A})$. The simplicial set $hom(\mathcal{Y}, \check{N}\mathcal{A})$ is commonly called the total space $Tot(\check{N}\mathcal{A})$ of $\check{N}\mathcal{A}$.

Definition 13. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a presheaf of ω-categories on $\mathcal{S}$, and let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an open cover of $X \in \mathcal{S}$. We say that $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies *0-glueing* with respect to $\mathcal{U}$ if for all $F \in Hom_{sSet^\Delta}(\mathcal{Y}, \check{N}\mathcal{A})$, there exists a homotopy $H : Hom_{sSet^\Delta}(\mathcal{Y} \times \Delta^1, \check{N}\mathcal{A})$ from F to ρG for some $G \in N\mathcal{A}(X)_0$. We say that $\mathcal{A}$ also satisfies *unique 0-glueing* with respect to $\mathcal{U}$ if two 0-objects $a, b \in \mathcal{A}(X)_0 = N\mathcal{A}(X)_0$ are isomorphic in $\mathcal{A}(X)$ whenever ρa and ρb are isomorphic in $hom(\mathcal{Y}, \check{N}\mathcal{A})$.

The intuitive meaning of the uniqueness of glueing is that if $a, b \in \mathcal{A}(X)_0$ are locally isomorphic in a consistent way, then a, b are isomorphic. Hence if G and $G' \in \mathcal{A}(X)_0$ glue $F \in hom(\mathcal{Y}, \check{N}\mathcal{A})$ in the notation of Definition 13, then G and G' are isomorphic in $\mathcal{A}(X)$.

To describe k-glueing for $k > 0$, first observe that there is a shift functor $[1] : \omega Cat \longrightarrow \omega Cat$, where if $A = (Ob(A), s_k, t_k, *_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, $A[1]$ is the ω-category $(Ob(A), s[1]_k = s_{k+1}, t[1]_k = t_{k+1}, *[1]_k = *_{k+1})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$. For $x, y \in A_0$, we are especially interested in sub-ω-categories $A[1]^{x,y} = Hom_A(x, y) := \{a \in A[1] \mid s_0 a = x \ , \ t_0 a = y\}$. More generally, for $k \geq 1$, $x, y \in A_{k-1}$, let $A[k]^{x,y} = \{a \in A[k] \mid s_{k-1} a = x \ , \ t_{k-1} a = y\}$. Observe that $(A[k])[1] = A[k+1]$.

Remark 6.3. $A[k]^{x,y} = Hom^k_A(x, y)$ from Definition 2.

Definition 14. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a presheaf of ω-categories, X an object in $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{U}$ an open cover of X.

1. Suppose $k > 0$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies *the (unique) k-glueing condition with respect to* $\mathcal{U}$ if for $x, y \in \mathcal{A}(X)_{k-1}$, $\mathcal{A}[k]^{x,y}$ satisfies (unique) 0-glueing whenever $s_{k-2} x = s_{k-2} y$ and $t_{k-2} x = t_{k-2} y$. We use the convention that $s_{-1} x = t_{-1} x = 0$ for all x.

2. We say that $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies *ω-descent with respect to $\mathcal{U}$* if for all $k \geq 0$, $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies the unique k-glueing condition.

3. We say that $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies *ω-descent for loops* if for all $x \in \mathcal{A}(X)_0$, each $\mathcal{A}[k]^{x,x}$ satisfies the unique 0-glueing condition.

Definition 15. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a presheaf of Picard ω-categories. We say that $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies *ω-descent, k-glueing, etc.* if it satisfies ω-descent with respect to $\mathcal{U}$, k-glueing with respect to $\mathcal{U}$, et cetera, respectively for all objects X of $\mathcal{S}$ and open covers $\mathcal{U}$ of X.

Our goal for the remainder of this section is prove the following theorem, which relates two notions of descent.

Theorem 6.4. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a presheaf site $\mathcal{S}$ with values in Pic_ω. Then $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies ω-descent if and only if it satisfies Čech descent.*

It is important to note that since $N : Pic_\omega \longrightarrow sAb$ is an equivalence of model categories, a presheaf $\mathcal{A} \in Pre_\omega$ satisfies (Čech) descent if and only if its nerve $N\mathcal{A} \in Pre_{sSet}$ satisfies (Čech) descent.

To prove Theorem 6.4, we will show that for each open cover $\mathcal{U}$, a presheaf $\mathcal{A}$ of Picard ω-categories on $\mathcal{S}$ satisfies the unique glueing condition with respect to $\mathcal{U}$ on X if and only if it satisfies Čech descent with respect to $\mathcal{U}$. For the rest of the section, fix an object $X \in Ob(\mathcal{S})$ and open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of X.

6.1 Loop and Path Functors

We define a pair of adjoint functors $[-1] : Ch^+(Ab) \leftrightarrows Ch^+(Ab) : \Omega$, which form a Quillen pair for the model category $Ch^+(Ab)$. The functor $[-1]$ is defined as follows. Let $B \in Ch^+(Ab)$. Then $([-1]B)_i = B_{i-1}$ for $i > 0$, and $([-1]B)_0 = 0$. Thus, $[-1]B = ...\longrightarrow B_1 \longrightarrow B_0 \longrightarrow 0$, where B_0 is in degree 1. On the other hand, Ω is defined by letting $(\Omega A)_i = A_{i+1}$ for $i > 0$, and $(\Omega A)_0 = Ker(A_1 \xrightarrow{d} A_0)$. Thus, $([1]^{0,0}A) = ...\longrightarrow A_3 \longrightarrow A_2 \longrightarrow Ker(d)$, with $Ker(d) \subset A_1$ in degree 0. Clearly, $Hom_{ch^+(Ab)}([-1]B, A) \simeq Hom_{ch^+(Ab)}(B, \Omega A)$, so $[-1]$ is left adjoint to Ω. Since $[-1]$ preserves weak equivalences and cofibrations in $Ch^+(Ab)$, $([-1], \Omega)$ is a Quillen pair (Prop 8.5.3 in [19]).

Lemma 6.5. *Given the equivalences $Pic_\omega \simeq Ch^+(Ab) \simeq sAb$, the following pairs of endofunctors correspond to each other:*

1. *$([-1], \Omega)$ on $Ch^+(Ab)$ defined above,*

2. *$([-1], [1]^{0,0})$ on Pic_ω, where $[1]^{0,0}$ is defined after Definition 13 and $[-1]$ is defined in Proposition 3.11, and*

3. *(M, L), where L is given by $L(X)_n = Ker(d_0^X : X_{n+1} \longrightarrow X_n) \cap Ker(d_1^n)$ and $d_n^{L(X)} = -d_{n+1}^X$, $s_n^{L(X)} = -s_{n+1}^X$. One can describe $L(X)_n$ as the $(n+1)$-simplices in X such that the 0-th face and 0-th vertex of x is 0. On the other hand, $M(X)_n = X_{n-1}$ for $n > 0$ and $M_0 = 0$. The structure maps are $d_i^{M(X)} = d_{i-1}^X$ for $i > 0$ and $d_0 = 0$.*

Proof. Using the equivalences $P : Ch^+(Ab) \longrightarrow Pic_\omega$ and $K : sAb \longrightarrow Ch^+(sAb)$, the result follows easily. ☐

Lemma 6.5 suggests that we should think of $\Omega(A)$ as loops in A based at 0. We can also consider path functors.

Lemma 6.6. *Given the equivalences, $Pic_\omega \simeq Ch^+(Ab) \simeq sAb$, the following functors correspond to each other.*

1. *In Pic_ω the path functor $[1] : Pic_\omega \longrightarrow Pic_\omega$ is the restriction of $[1] : \omega Cat \longrightarrow \omega Cat$ defined immediately after Definition 13.*

2. *$\Pi : Ch^+(Ab) \longrightarrow Ch^+(Ab)$ defined by $\Pi(A) = ... \longrightarrow A_3 \longrightarrow A_2 \longrightarrow A_1 \oplus A_0$, with $A_1 \oplus A_0$ in degree 0 and differential $d \oplus 0 : A_2 \longrightarrow A_1 \oplus A_0$.*

3. *$Path : sAb \longrightarrow sAb$ defined by $Path(X) = \hat{S}(X) \oplus X_0$, where X_0 is a discrete simplicial set with X_0 in degree 0 and $\hat{S} : sAb \longrightarrow sAb$ is given by $\hat{S}(X)_n := Ker(d_0^X : X_{n+1} \longrightarrow X_n)$ and $d_n^{\hat{S}(X)} = -d_{n+1}^X$, $s_n^{\hat{S}(X)} = -s_{n+1}^X$.*

Proof. To make the identification of Π with $[1]$, let P denote the equivalence $P : Ch^+(Ab) \longrightarrow Pic_\omega$. Identifying $((a_{n+1}^+, a_{n+1}^-), ..., (a_2^+, a_2^-), (a_1^+ + a_0^+, a_1^- + a_0^-)) \in P(\Pi(A))_n$ with $((a_{n+1}^+, a_{n+1}^-), ..., (a_2^+, a_2^-), (a_1^+, a_1^-), (a_0^+, a_0^+ - da_1^+)) \in (PA)_{n+1} = (PA)[1]_n$ establishes that $[1] \circ P = P \circ \Pi$.

To make the identification of $Path$ with Π, let $S : Ch^+(Ab) \longrightarrow Ch^+(Ab)$ denote the functor $A \mapsto (... \longrightarrow A_3 \longrightarrow A_2 \longrightarrow A_1)$. It is easily verified using the Dold-Kan correspondence that $\hat{S}$ corresponds with S. Observe that $\Pi(A) = S(A) \oplus (...0 \longrightarrow A_0)$ so that $K\Pi(A) = K(S(A)) \oplus K(A_0)$, where $K(A_0)$ is the discrete simplicial abelian group with A_0 in degree 0. ☐

For $A \in Pic_\omega$ and 0-objects $a, b \in A_0$, the sub-ω-category $A[1]^{a,b}$ of $A[1]$ is not a Picard ω-category. However, we can still describe its nerve as a sub-object $NA[1]^{a,b}$ of $NA[1]$ in $sSet$. Denote the path functor $sAb \longrightarrow sSet$ corresponding to $[1]^{a,b} : Pic_\omega \longrightarrow \omega Cat$ by $Path^{a,b}$. First we argue that the n-simplices of $NA[1]$ can be viewed as the $(n+1)$-simplices of NA for which the 0th face is $s_0^{n+1} b$ for some vertex $b \in NA_0$. By Lemma 6.6, $NA[1]_n = \{y \in (NA)_{n+1} \mid d_0 y = 0\} \oplus A_0$, and there is an inclusion $NA[1]_n \hookrightarrow NA_{n+1}$ given by $(y, b) \mapsto y + s_0^{n+1} b$.

Lemma 6.7. *Let A be a Picard ω-category. Then $NA[1]_n^{a,b}$ consists of simplices $x \in NA_{n+1}$ for which the 0-th vertex $v_0 x = (d_1)^{n+1} x = a$ and $d_0 x = b$.*

Proof. We prove by induction that for an n-simplex in $x \in NA[1]_n \subset NA_{n+1}$ such that $v_0 x = a$ and $d_0 x = b$, $x \in NA[1]^{a,b}$. For $n = 0$, let x be a 0-simplex in $NA[1]$ such that $v_0 x = a$ and $d_0 x = b$. Since $(NA)_1 = A_1$, we can think of the 1-simplex x in NA as a 1-morphism in A, where the source of x is $s_0 x = d_1 x = v_0 x = a$ and the target of x is $t_0 x = d_0 x = b$. Hence, $x \in N[1]_0^{a,b}$.

Now let x be an n-simplex in $NA[1]_n \subset NA_{n+1}$ such that $v_0 x = a$ and $d_0 x = b$. Observe that $v_0 x = v_0(d_i x) = a$ and $d_0 x = d_0 d_i x = b$ for every $0 < i \leq n+1$, and in fact, $v_0 w = a$ and $d_0 w = b$ for every r-dimensional face w of x. We make use of the notation defined in §4.1.1 for the remainder of the proof. By construction, an n-simplex in $NA[1]$ is a morphism $x \in Hom_{\omega Cat}(\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}^n), A[1])$, where $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}^n)$ is Street's n-th oriental, defined in §4.1.1. For an ω-category $B = (Ob(B), *_i, s_i, t_i) i \in \mathbb{N}$, and $m \geq 0$, let $|B|_m = (B_m, *_i, s_i, t_i)_{0 \leq i \leq m-1}$ denote the m-category formed by taking all k-morphisms for $k \leq m$. In [43], Street shows that a choice of morphism $x \in Hom_{\omega Cat}(\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}^n), A[1])$ is equivalent to a morphism $g \in Hom_{\omega Cat}(|\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}^n)|_{n-1}, A[1])$ and $\alpha \in A[1]_n$ such that $s[1]_{n-1}\alpha = g(s_{n-1}\langle(01...n)\rangle)$ and $t[1]_{n-1}\alpha = g(t_{n-1}\langle(01...n)\rangle)$, where $\langle(01...n)\rangle$ is the unique non-trivial n-morphism in $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}^n)$. Hence, the 0-source and 0-target of α in A are determined by g because $s_0\alpha = s_0 s[1]_{n-1}\alpha = s_0 g(s_{n-1}\langle(0...n)\rangle) = g(s_0 s_{n-1}\langle(0...n)\rangle) = g(s_0\langle(0...n)\rangle)$, and similarly, $t_0\alpha = g(t_0\langle(0...n)\rangle)$. Street shows that $g(s_{n-1}\langle(0...n\rangle)$ and $g(t_{n-1}\langle(0...n\rangle)$ are compositions of of g applied to $\langle\beta\rangle$ for some $\beta : \Delta^r \hookrightarrow \Delta^n$ with $r < n$. Using properties (1d) and (2b) of Definition 1, taking s_0 or t_0 of a composition simply applies s_0 or t_0 to the last morphism in the chain of compositions. Thus, $s_0\alpha = s_0 g(\langle\beta\rangle)$ and $t_0\alpha = t_0 g(\langle\beta\rangle)$ for some $\beta : \Delta^r \hookrightarrow \Delta^n$ with $r < n$. But $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}^r) \xrightarrow{\beta_*} \mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}^n) \xrightarrow{x} A[1]$ is simply one of the r-faces of the n-simplex x. Since $x\beta_*$ is an r-face of x, $a = v_0 x = v_0(x\beta_*)$ and $b = d_0(x\beta_*)$. By induction hypothesis, $s_0(g\langle\beta\rangle) = a$ and $t_0(g\langle\beta\rangle) = b$, so $s_0\alpha = a$ and $t_0\alpha = b$. Also, for $r < n$, every r-dimensional face $x\gamma_*$ for $\gamma : \Delta^r \hookrightarrow \Delta^n$, $v_0(x\gamma_*) = v_0 x = a$ and $d_0(x\gamma_*) = d_0 x = b$, whence $s_0(g\langle\gamma\rangle) = a$ and $t_0(g\langle\gamma\rangle) = b$ by induction hypothesis. Thus, for $r \leq n$, every r-face w of x, the r-morphism $g(\langle\gamma_w\rangle)$in $A[1]$ representing w has a as its 0-source and b as its 0-target. Therefore, $x \in NA[1]^{a,b}$. ☐

Remark 6.8. For $X \in sAb$, $Path^{a,b}X$ (the paths in $Path(X)$ from a to b) is isomorphic to the left mapping space $Hom^L_X(a,b)$ of Lurie [31].

6.2 Equivalence of Descent Conditions

Lemma 6.9. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a presheaf of ω-categories. The unique 0-glueing condition is equivalent to the condition that $\pi_0(N\mathcal{A}(X)) \simeq \pi_0(holim N\check{\mathcal{A}})$.*

Proof. In [5] ch.10-11, it was proved that for $G \in sAb^{\Delta}$, $hom(\mathcal{Y}, G) \longrightarrow holim G$ is a weak equivalence. Therefore, $\pi_0(hom(\mathcal{Y}, N\check{\mathcal{A}})) \simeq \pi_0 holim N\check{\mathcal{A}}$. We conclude the proof by arguing that $\pi_0(N\mathcal{A}(X)) \simeq \pi_0(hom(\mathcal{Y}, N\check{\mathcal{A}}))$ if and only if $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies the unique 0-glueing condition.

The 0-glueing condition states that for all $f \in Hom_{sSet^{\Delta}}(\mathcal{Y}, N\check{\mathcal{A}})$, there exists $H \in Hom_{sSet^{\Delta}}(\mathcal{Y} \times \Delta^1, N\check{\mathcal{A}})$ such that $H_{|\mathcal{Y}\times\{0\}} = f$ and $H_{|\mathcal{Y}\times\{1\}} = \rho g$ for some $g \in \mathcal{A}(X)_0$. Since $Hom_{sSet^{\Delta}}(\mathcal{Y} \times \Delta^1, N\check{\mathcal{A}}) = hom(\mathcal{Y}, N\check{\mathcal{A}})_1$, this is equivalent to asking that for every vertex $f \in hom(\mathcal{Y}, N\check{\mathcal{A}})_0$, there exists $H \in hom(\mathcal{Y}, N\check{\mathcal{A}})_1$ such that $d_1 H = f$ and $d_0 H = \rho g$ for some $g \in N\mathcal{A}(X)_0$. In other words, The 0-glueing condition states that $\rho_* : \pi_0(N\mathcal{A}(X)) \longrightarrow \pi_0(hom(\mathcal{Y}, N\check{\mathcal{A}}))$ is a surjection. The uniqueness part of the unique 0-glueing condition states that ρ_* is also injective. ☐

Lemma 6.10. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a presheaf of Picard ω-categories. Then $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies the unique glueing condition for all loops if and only if it satisfies the unique glueing condition for loops at 0. Furthermore, for any $a \in \mathcal{A}(X)_0$, $\mathcal{A}[1]^{0,0} \simeq \mathcal{A}[1]^{a,a}$ in Pre_ω.*

Proof. First we show that for $A \in Pic_\omega$ and $a \in A_0$, addition by a, $p_a : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ (mapping $x \mapsto x + a$) defines an isomorphism of ω-categories, though not of Picard ω-categories. To see that p_a is a morphism of ω-categories, let $\sigma = s$ or t and $n \geq 0$. Then $\sigma_n p_a(x) = \sigma_n(a+x) = \sigma_n(a) + \sigma_n(x) = a + \sigma_n(x) = p_a(\sigma_n x)$ since a is a 0-object. Composition is also preserved; $p_a(x *_n y) = a + x *_n y = a + x + y - s_n x = a + x + y + a - (s_n x + a) = a + x + y + a - s_n(x + a) = (x + a) *_n (y + a) = p_a x *_n p_a y$. Therefore, p_a is a morphism of ω-categories. Since p_a has inverse p_{-a}, it is an isomorphism. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a presheaf of ω-categories and $a \in \mathcal{A}(X)_0$, then $p_a : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is an isomorphism of presheaves of ω-categories sending basepoint 0 to basepoint a. ☐

Lemma 6.11. *Let $G \in sAb$ and $L : sAb \longrightarrow sAb$ be the functor described above, corresponding to $[1]^{0,0} : Pic_\omega \longrightarrow Pic_\omega$. Then $\pi_{n+1} L^k(G) \simeq \pi_n L^{k+1} G$ for all $k, n \geq 0$.*

Proof. It follows from the definition of L that $Hom_{sSet}(\Delta^n, LG) \simeq \{f \in Hom_{sSet}(\Delta^{n+1}, G) \mid (0) \mapsto 0 \text{ and } f d_0(012...n) = 0\}$. Therefore, $\{f \in Hom_{sSet}(\Delta^n, LG) \mid \partial\Delta^n \longrightarrow 0\} \simeq \{f \in Hom_{sSet}(\Delta^{n+1}, G) \mid \partial\Delta^{n+1} \longrightarrow 0\}$. Not only are they isomorophic as sets, but homotopies in $\{f \in Hom_{sSet}(\Delta^n, LG) \mid \partial\Delta^n \longrightarrow 0\}$ coincide with those in $\{f \in Hom_{sSet}(\Delta^{n+1}, G) \mid \partial\Delta^{n+1} \longrightarrow 0\}$. To see this, we will show that f and g are homotopic in $\{f \in Hom_{sSet}(\Delta^n, LG) \mid \partial\Delta^n \longrightarrow 0\}$ if and only if the corresponding simplices in $\{f \in Hom_{sSet}(\Delta^{n+1}, G) \mid \partial\Delta^{n+1} \longrightarrow 0\}$ are homotopic. However, since G and LG are simplicial abelian groups, it suffices to show that f being homotopic to 0 is the same in both sets. It is a standard fact, found in [22], that for $f \in G_n$ with $d_i f = 0$ for all i, f is homotopic to 0 if and only if and only if there is some $h \in G_{n+1}$ such that $d_{n+1} h = f$ and $d_i h = 0$ for all $i \leq n$. Clearly then, $f : \Delta^n \longrightarrow LG$ is homotopic to 0 if and only if the corresponding map $\Delta^{n+1} \longrightarrow G$ is homotopic to 0. ☐

Proposition 6.12. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a presheaf of Picard ω-categories. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is a satisfies Čech descent if and only if $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies the unique glueing condition for loops.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{G} = N\mathcal{A}$ be the corresponding presheaf of simplicial abelian groups. Then $\mathcal{G}$ satisfies descent for all hypercovers if and only if $\mathcal{G}(X) \longrightarrow holim\check{\mathcal{G}}$ is a weak equivalence, i.e. $\pi_n \mathcal{G}(X) \longrightarrow \pi_n holim\check{\mathcal{G}}$ is an isomorphism for each $n \geq 0$. By lemma 6.11, $\pi_n \mathcal{G}(X) \longrightarrow \pi_n holim\check{\mathcal{G}}$ is an isomorphism for each $n \geq 0$ if and only if $\pi_0(L^n \mathcal{G}(X)) \simeq \pi_0(L^n(holim\mathcal{G}))$ for all $n \geq 0$. Since L is right Quillen, it preserves homotopy limits. Therefore, $\pi_0(L^n(holim\mathcal{G})) \simeq \pi_0(holim(L^n\mathcal{G}))$. In summary, $\mathcal{G}$ satisfies Čech descent if and only if $\pi_0(L^n\mathcal{G}(X)) \simeq \pi_0(holim(L^n\check{\mathcal{G}}))$ for all $n \geq 0$. By Lemma 6.9, we conclude that $\mathcal{G}$ satisfies Čech descent if and only if $L^n\mathcal{G}$ satisfies the unique 0-glueing condition for each n. To say that $L^n\mathcal{G}$ satisfies the unique 0-glueing condition is just to say that $\mathcal{G}$ satisfies the unique n-glueing condition. Therefore, $\mathcal{G}$ satisfies Čech descent if and only if $\mathcal{G}$ satisfies the unique glueing condition for loops based at 0. However, Lemma 6.10 implies that this is equivalent to the unique glueing condition for all loops. $\square$

Corollary 6.13. *Let be a $\mathcal{A}$ is a presheaf of Picard ω-categories. If $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies ω-descent, then it satisfies Čech descent.*

To complete the proof of Theorem 6.4, we now show that if a presheaf of simplicial abelian groups satisfies Čech descent for all hypercovers, it satisfies the unique glueing condition, not just for loops.

Lemma 6.14. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a presheaf of Picard ω-categories, $X \in \mathcal{S}$, and $a, b \in \mathcal{A}(X)_0$. If there exists $f \in \mathcal{A}(X)_1$ such that $s_0 f = a$, $t_0 f = b$, then $\mathcal{A}[1]^{a,b} \simeq \mathcal{A}[1]^{0,0}$ in Pre_ω, whence $\mathcal{A}[1]^{a,b}$ is a presheaf of Picard ω-categories.*

Proof. There is an isomorphism $\mathcal{A}[1]^{a,b} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}[1]^{a,a}$ sending a section y to $x^{-1} *_0 y = y + (x^{-1} - b)$. First, let us see that for $y \in \mathcal{A}[1]^{a,b}$, $x^{-1} *_0 y \in \mathcal{A}[1]^{a,a}$. We easily see that $s_0(y + x^{-1} - b) = s_0 y + s_0 x^{-1} - s_0 b = a + b - b = a$ and $t_o(y + x^{-1} - b) = t_0 y + t_0 x^{-1} - t_0 b = b + a - b = a$ so that $x^{-1} *_0 y \in \mathcal{A}[1]^{a,a}$. Since $x^{-1} - b \in \mathcal{A}[1](X)_0$, addition by $x^{-1} - b$ is an isomorphism (The proof is identical to that of Lemma 6.10). Recall from Lemma 6.10 that $\mathcal{A}[1]^{a,a} \simeq \mathcal{A}[1]^{0,0}$. $\square$

Lemma 6.15. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a sheaf of ω-categories and $a, b \in \mathcal{A}(X)_0$. Then $\mathcal{A}[1]^{a,b}(X) \longrightarrow holim \check{\mathcal{A}}[1]^{a,b}$ is a weak equivalence if and only if $\mathcal{A}[1]^{a,b}(X) \longrightarrow hom_{sSet^\Delta}(\mathcal{Y}, \check{\mathcal{A}}[1]^{a,b})$ is a weak equivalence.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B} = N\mathcal{A}[1]^{a,b} \in Pre_{sAb}$. If some $\mathcal{B}(U_{i_0 \ldots i_n}) = \emptyset$, then $\check{\mathcal{B}} = \emptyset$, and $\mathcal{B}(X) = \emptyset$, so both $\mathcal{A}[1]^{a,b}(X) \longrightarrow holim \check{\mathcal{A}}[1]^{a,b}$ and $\mathcal{A}[1]^{a,b}(X) \longrightarrow hom_{sSet^\Delta}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{A}[1]^{a,b})$ are weak equivalences. Now suppose that each $\mathcal{B}(U_{i_0 \ldots i_n}) \neq \emptyset$. By the two out of three axiom for weak equivalences, it suffices to show that $hom_{sSet^\Delta}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{A}[1]^{a,b}) \longrightarrow holim \check{\mathcal{B}}$ is a weak equivalence. By Ch. 11, §4 in [5], the result will follow if we show that $\check{\mathcal{B}}$ is a fibrant object in $sSet^\Delta$ with Bousfield and Kan's model structure.

For $X \in sSet^\Delta$, let the n-th matching space $M^n X = \{(x_0, \ldots x_n) \in X^n \times X^n \times \ldots \times X^n \mid s^i x_j = s^{j-1} x_i \ if \ 0 \le i < j \le n\}$, where s^i denotes the i-th coface map $X(\sigma_i)$. There is a map $X^{n+1} \longrightarrow M^n X$ in $sSet$ given by $x \mapsto (s^0 x, \ldots, s^n x)$. By definition (Ch. 10 §4 in [5]), X is fibrant if and only if each $X^{n+1} \longrightarrow M^n X$, $n \ge 0$ and $X^0 \longrightarrow *$ are fibrations in $sSet$. We now proceed to show that $\check{\mathcal{B}}$ is fibrant.

First we show that we can endow each each $\check{\mathcal{B}}^n$ with the structure of a simplicial abelian group such that all $s^i : \check{\mathcal{B}}^{n+1} \longrightarrow \check{\mathcal{B}}^n$ is a morphism in sAb. For the open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$, we are assuming that for each $n \ge 0$ and each $\alpha \in I^{[n]}$, $\mathcal{B}(U_\alpha) \neq \emptyset$, so by Lemma 6.14, we can choose a group structure on $\check{\mathcal{B}}^n$ by choosing a 1-simplex $f = \{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I^{[n]}}$ with $d_1 f = a$, $d_0 f = b$. The goal is to choose a group structure on each $\check{\mathcal{B}}$ so that the coface maps are all morphisms of simplicial abelian groups. First declare an equivalence relationship on $I^{[n]}$ by setting $\alpha \sim \beta$ if $U_\alpha = U_\beta$, and let $\overline{I}^{[n]}$ denote the set of equivalence classes. Observe that the coface maps $s^m : \check{\mathcal{B}}^{n+1} \longrightarrow \check{\mathcal{B}}^n$ are given by $(s^m(\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I^{[n+1]}}))_\beta = x_{\sigma_m^* \beta}$, where $\sigma_m : [n+1] \longrightarrow [n]$ is the monotonic map which repeats only m.

To choose the group stuctures on $\check{\mathcal{B}}^n$, we start with $n = 0$. Choosing any group structure $f = \{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I^{[0]}}$ such that $f_\alpha = f_\beta$ for $\alpha \sim \beta$. Now, having chosen group structures for all $\check{\mathcal{B}}^k$ for $k \le n$ such that all coface maps are morphisms of simplicial abelian groups, choose any group structure $f = \{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I^{[n+1]}}$ such that $f_\alpha = f_\beta$ if $\alpha \sim \beta$ and if $\alpha = \sigma_m^* \beta$ for some $\beta \in I^{[n]}$, $f_\alpha = f_\beta$. To see that such a choice exists, we simply observe that if $\alpha \sim \gamma$ such that $\alpha = \sigma_m^* \beta$ and $\gamma = \sigma_l^* \delta$, then $U_\beta = U_{\sigma_m^* \beta} = U_\alpha = U_\gamma = U_{\sigma_l^* \delta} = U_\delta$, so $\gamma \sim \delta$ and $f_\gamma = f_\delta$. The coface maps $(s^m(\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I^{[n+1]}})_\beta = x_{\sigma_m^* \beta}$ are morphisms of simplicial abelian groups because for $\beta \in I^{[n]}$, $\pi_\beta s^m$ is the composition of the identity map $\mathcal{B}(U_{\sigma_m^* \beta}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(U_\beta)$ with the projection $\pi_{\sigma_m^* \beta} : \check{\mathcal{B}}^{n+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(U_{\sigma_m^* \beta})$, both of which are group maps since the group stuctures $f_{\sigma_m^* \beta}$ and f_β were chosen to coincide.

We now demonstrate that each $\check{\mathcal{B}}^{n+1} \longrightarrow M^n\check{\mathcal{B}}$ is surjective. It will follow that these maps are levelwise epimorphisms of abelian groups, hence fibrations in $sSet$. Choose any $n \geq 0$. The proof that $\check{\mathcal{B}}^{n+1} \longrightarrow M^n\check{\mathcal{B}}$ is surjective is very much the same as the proof in the previous paragraph. For $x_0...x_0 \in \check{\mathcal{B}}^n$ ($x_i = \{x_i^\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I^{[n]}}$), $(x_0, ..., x_m) \in M^n\check{\mathcal{B}}$ if and only if for all $0 \leq l < m \leq n$ and $\alpha \in I^{[n-1]}$, $x_m^{\sigma_l^*\alpha} = x_l^{\sigma_{m-1}^*\alpha}$. Choose any $y = \{y^\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I^{[n+1]}}$ such that for all $0 \leq m \leq n$, $\beta \in I^{[n]}$, $y^{\sigma^*\beta} = x_m^\beta$. Hence the map $\check{\mathcal{B}}^{n+1} \longrightarrow M^n\check{\mathcal{B}}$ sends y to $(x_0, ...x_n)$. However, we need to check that such a choice exists. We need to show that if $\sigma_m^*\beta = \sigma_l^*\gamma$, then $x_m^\beta = x_l^\gamma$. Suppose that $\sigma_m^*\beta = \sigma_l^*\gamma$. Clearly $\alpha = \sigma_m^*\sigma_l^*\delta$ for some δ, so $\alpha = (\sigma_l\sigma_m)^*\delta = (\sigma_{m-1}\sigma_l)^*\delta = \sigma_l^*\sigma_{m-1}^*\gamma$. In general, if $\sigma_m^*\tau = \sigma_m^*\mu$, then $\tau = \mu$. Hence, $\beta = \sigma_l^*\delta$ and $\gamma = \sigma_{m-1}^*\gamma$, so $x_m^\beta = x_m^{\sigma_l^*\delta} = x_l^{\sigma_{m-1}^*\delta} = x_l^\gamma$. Therefore, y^α is well-defined. ☐

Lemma 6.16. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a presheaf of Picard ω-categories that satisfies Čech descent, and let $a, b \in \mathcal{A}(X)_0$. If $Hom_{sSet^\Delta}(\mathcal{Y}, \check{N}\mathcal{A}[1]^{a,b})$ is non-empty, then there exists a path $x \in \mathcal{A}(X)_1$ from a to b, and $\mathcal{A}[1]^{a,b} \simeq \mathcal{A}[1]^{a,a} \simeq \mathcal{A}[1]^{0,0}$ as sheaves of ω-categories. It follows that $\mathcal{A}[1]^{a,b} \in Pre_{\omega Ab}$.*

Proof. Choose any $F \in Hom_{sSet^\Delta}(\mathcal{Y}, \check{N}\mathcal{A}[1]^{a,b})$. Let $\mathcal{Y}^{(1)}$ denote the object in $sSet^\Delta$ for which $\mathcal{Y}^{(1)}([n]) = \Delta^{n+1}$, $\mathcal{Y}^{(1)}([n] \xrightarrow{\partial_i} [n+1]) = \Delta^{n+1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{i+1}} \Delta^{n+2}$, and $\mathcal{Y}^{(1)}([n] \xrightarrow{\sigma_i} [n-1]) = \Delta^{n+1} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{i+1}} \Delta^n$. First we observe that $Hom_{sSet^\Delta}(\mathcal{Y}, \check{N}\mathcal{A}[1]^{a,b})$ is isomorphic to $Hom_{sSet^\Delta}(\mathcal{Y}^{(1)}, \check{N}\mathcal{A})^{a,b} := \{f \in Hom_{sSet^\Delta}(\mathcal{Y}^{(1)}, \check{N}\mathcal{A}) \mid f_n : \Delta^{n+1} \longrightarrow \check{N}\mathcal{A}_n$ satisfies $f((0)) = a,\ d_0 f(01...n+1) = b\}$.

We will define a morphism $p : \Delta^n \times \Delta^1$ in $sSet$ which sends $\Delta^n \times \{0\}$ to a and $\Delta^n \times \{1\}$ to b. First observe that $\Delta^n \times \Delta^1$ has non-degenerate $(n+1)$-simplices $z_k := (012.., k-1, k, k, k+1, ...n, s_0^k(01) \in \Delta^n_{n+1} \times \Delta^1_{n+1}$, $0 \leq k \leq n$, which satisfy relations $d_k z_k = d_k z_{k-1}$, for $k \geq 1$. For any $X \in sSet$, to give a morphism $f \in Hom_{sSet}(\Delta^n \times \Delta^1, X)$, it is necessary and sufficient to give $(n+1)$-simplices $y_k = f(z_k) \in X_{n+1}$ such that $d_k y_k = d_k y_{k-1}$. Let $p : \Delta^n \times \Delta^1 \longrightarrow \Delta^{n+1}$ be the morphism given by $y_k = s_0^k d_1^k(012...n+1)$. One may verify that $\Delta^n \times \{0\} \longrightarrow s_0^n(0)$ and $\Delta^n \times \{1\} \longrightarrow d_0(01...n+1)$. An easy but tedious calculation shows that p extends to a morphism $p : \mathcal{Y} \times \Delta^1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}^{(1)}$ in $sSet^\Delta$.

Now, $F \in Hom_{sSet^\Delta}(\mathcal{Y}, \check{N}\mathcal{A}[1]^{a,b})$ corresponds to some $f \in Hom_{sSet^\Delta}(\mathcal{Y}^{(1)}, \check{N}\mathcal{A})^{a,b}$. We can form the composition $fp \in Hom_{sSet^\Delta}(\mathcal{Y} \times \Delta^1, \check{N}\mathcal{A}[1]) = hom(\mathcal{Y}, \check{N}\mathcal{A})_1$, which sends $\mathcal{Y} \times \{0\}$ to a and $\mathcal{Y} \times \{1\}$ to b. Thus, $fp \in hom(\mathcal{Y}, \check{N}\mathcal{A})$ is a 1-simplex in $hom(\mathcal{Y}, \check{N}\mathcal{A})$ from a to b. Since $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies Čech descent, $\rho : N\mathcal{A}(X) \longrightarrow hom(\mathcal{Y}, \check{N}\mathcal{A})$ is a weak equivalence of fibrant simplicial sets. Therefore, there exists a morphism $G : hom(\mathcal{Y}, \check{N}\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow N\mathcal{A}(X)$ such that $G\rho$ is homotopic to the identity. Since $N\mathcal{A}(X)$ is fibrant, one can also find a 1-simplex in $N\mathcal{A}(X)$ from a to b, i.e. a path in $\mathcal{A}(X)_1$ from a to b. The result now follows from Lemma 6.14. ☐

Remark 6.17. For $a, b \in \mathcal{A}(X)_0$ as in Lemma 6.16, the (presheaf of) abelian group structure of $\mathcal{A}[1]^{a,b}$ is not the one endowed from being a sub-ω-category.

Lemma 6.18. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a presheaf of Picard ω-categories which satisfies Čech descent. Suppose $a, b \in \mathcal{A}(X)_k$ are such that $s_{k-1}a = s_{k-1}b$ and $t_{k-1}a = t_{k-1}b$. Then $\mathcal{A}[k+1]^{a,b}$ satisfies Čech descent. Additionally, if there exists a $(k+1)$-morphism x from a to b, then $\mathcal{A}[k+1]^{a,b}$ is a presheaf of Picard ω-categories.*

Proof. We prove the statement by induction on k. First let $k = 0$. If $Hom_{sSet^\Delta}(\mathcal{Y}, \check{N}\mathcal{A}[1]^{a,b})$ is non-empty, then there exists a path $x \in \mathcal{A}(X)_1$ from a to b and $\mathcal{A}[1]^{a,b} \simeq \mathcal{A}[1]^{a,a} \simeq \mathcal{A}[1]^{0,0} \in Pre_{\omega Ab}$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies Čech descent, it satisfies the unique k-glueing condition for loops at 0, and since $(\mathcal{A}[1]^{0,0})[k]^{0,0} = \mathcal{A}[k+1]^{0,0}$, $\mathcal{A}[1]^{0,0}$ satisfies the unique k-glueing condition for loops at 0. Therefore, $\mathcal{A}[1]^{0,0}$ is a presheaf of Picard ω-categories which satisfies Čech descent. It follows that since $\mathcal{A}[1]^{0,0} \simeq \mathcal{A}[1]^{a,b}$, $\mathcal{A}[1]^{a,b}$ is a presheaf of Picard ω-categories satisfying Čech descent.

If, on the other hand, $Hom_{sSet^\Delta}(\mathcal{Y}, \check{N}\mathcal{A}[1]^{a,b}) = \emptyset$, then the simplicial set $hom_{sSet^\Delta}(\mathcal{Y}, \check{N}\mathcal{A}[1]^{a,b}) = \emptyset$. But $Hom_{sSet^\Delta}(\mathcal{Y}, \check{N}\mathcal{A}[1]^{a,b}) = \emptyset$ also implies that $N\mathcal{A}[1]^{a,b}(X)_0 = \emptyset$, whence $N\mathcal{A}[1]^{a,b}(X) = \emptyset$, so $\mathcal{A}[1]^{a,b}$ trivially satisfies Čech descent. This proves the base case ($k = 0$).

Now suppose that $a, b \in \mathcal{A}(X)_k$ are such that $s_{k-1}a = s_{k-1}b$ and $t_{k-1}a = t_{k-1}b$. Then for any open $U \subset X$, as a set,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}[k+1]^{a,b}(U) &= \{x \in Ob(\mathcal{A}(U)) \mid s_k x = a,\ t_k x = b\} \\
&= \{x \in Ob(\mathcal{A}(U)) \mid s_k x = a,\ t_k x = b,\ s_{k-1}x = s_{k-1}a,\ t_{k-1}x = t_{k-1}b\} \\
&= \{x \in Ob(\mathcal{A}[k]^{s_{k-1}a, t_{k-1}b}(U)) \mid s_k x = a,\ t_k x = b\} \\
&= \{x \in Ob(\mathcal{A}[k]^{s_{k-1}a, t_{k-1}b}(U)) \mid s[k]_0 x = a,\ t[k]_0 x = b\} \\
&= (\mathcal{A}[k]^{s_{k-1}a, t_{k-1}b})[1]^{a,b}(U).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,$\mathcal{A}[k+1]^{a,b} = (\mathcal{A}[k]^{s_{k-1}a, t_{k-1}b})[1]^{a,b}$. But since a is a k-morphism from $s_{k-1}a$ to $t_{k-1}b$, $\mathcal{A}[k]^{s_{k-1}a, t_{k-1}b}$ is a prehseaf of Picard ω-categories satisfying Čech descent, by induction hypothesis. By the base case, $\mathcal{A}[k+1]^{a,b} = (\mathcal{A}[k]^{s_{k-1}a, t_{k-1}b})[1]^{a,b}$ satisfies Čech descent, and if there exists a $(k+1)$-morphism $x \in \mathcal{A}(X)_{k+1}$ from a to b, then $\mathcal{A}[k+1]^{a,b} \in Pre_{\omega Ab}$. ☐

Theorem 6.4 now follows directly from Lemma 6.18.

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{A} \in Pre_{\omega Ab}$ and satisfies Čech descent. Then by Lemma 6.9, $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies the unique 0-glueing condition. Lemma 6.18 ensures that each $\mathcal{A}[k]^{a,b}$ satisfies Čech descent hence the unique 0-glueing property by Lemma 6.9. Therefore $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies the unique k-glueing property for each k, i.e. satisfies ω-descent. ☐

7 ∞-torsors

We have added this section for completeness, as using ω-descent as a way to make ∞-torsors accessible was a primary motivation for establishing the equivalence of the two descent conditions. The central ideas in this section (Definition 16 and Propositions 7.1 and 7.4) are due to Fiorenza, Sati, Schreiber, and Stasheff [15, 37, 41]. We simply formulate them in a slightly different way, prefering to define objects up to homotopy.

Definition 16. Let G be a presheaf of simplicial abelian groups on a site $\mathcal{C}$. Let BG denote any delooping object of G in the homotopy category of $Pre_{sSet}^{proj,loc}(\mathcal{C})$. This means that BG is an object with a point $*\longrightarrow BG$, and G is the homotopy pullback of the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & * \arrow[d] \\
* \arrow[r] & BG
\end{tikzcd}$$

We define $Tors_G = hom(-, \widetilde{BG})$, where hom denotes simplicial enrichment in $Pre_{sSet}(\mathcal{C})$, and $\widetilde{BG}$ denotes a sheafification (i.e. fibrant replacement) of BG [15].

Remark 7.1. Note that $Tors_G$ is well-definied up to weak equivalence due to the uniqueness up to homotopy of looping and delooping functors [18, 21]. Furthermore, Lemma 7.2 shows that for two different choices T_1, T_2 for $Tors_G$, $T_1(X)$ is weakly equivalent to $T_2(X)$ for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$.

Lemma 7.2. *Let $F, G \in Pre_{sSet}^{proj,loc}$ be fibrant objects which are weakly equivalent. Then For any $X \in \mathcal{C}$, $F(X)$ and $G(X)$ are weakly equivalent.*

Proof. Let $V\longrightarrow X$ be a hypercover of X, and let V' be a cofibrant replacement of V. Then By [14] Lemma 4.4, $F(X) \simeq hom(X, F)\longrightarrow hom(V', F)$ and $G(X)\longrightarrow hom(V', G)$ are weak equivalences. If there is a weak equivalence $F\longrightarrow G$, then it is a general fact [19] Corollary 9.3.3 that in a simplicial model category with cofibrant V' and a weak equivalence of fibrant objects $F\longrightarrow G$ then $hom(V', F)\longrightarrow hom(V', G)$ is a weak equivalence of simplicial sets. Therefore, $F(X)$ is weakly equivalent to $G(X)$. If there is a zigzag of weak equivalences from F to G, then the result is the same: $F(X)$ and $G(X)$ are weakly equivalent. ☐

The central observations of this section are Propositions 7.1 and 7.4. The proof Proposition 7.1 is a modification of the proof of Proposition 3.2.17 in [15], which is for complexes concentrated in one degree only. First we make use of the following fact about homotopy limits for presheaves.

A homotopy pullback is simply the homotopy limit in the model category $Pre_{sSet}^{proj,loc}$. However, since every sectionwise weak equivalence is a local weak equivalence, the identity map $i : Pre_{sSet}^{proj} \longrightarrow Pre_{sSet}^{proj,loc}$ preserves weak equivalence and is adjoint to itself. It is a general fact that if a functor U between model categories is a right adjoint and preserves weak equivalences, then U preserves homotopy limits. Hence, to compute the homotopy limit in the local model structure, it is enough to compute it in the global projective model structure.

Given a complex $A \in Ch^+(\mathcal{A}b)$ of presheaves of abelian groups concentrated in non-negative degrees, recall that $A[1]$ is A shifted up one degree so that $A[1]^n = A^{n-1}$. For a presheaf of simplicial groups G, let $G[1]$ be the presheaf of simplicial groups corresponding to the shift functor in $Ch^+(\mathcal{A}b)$ by the Dold-Kan correspondence.

Proposition 7.3. *Let G be a presheaf of simplicial groups. Then $G[1]$ is a delooping object of G.*

Proof. We use the Dold-Kan correspondence between $Pre_{sAb}(\mathcal{C})$ and $Ch^+(\mathcal{A}b)$. Given a complex $A \in Ch^+(\mathcal{A}b)$ of presheaves of abelian groups concentrated in non-negative degrees, recall that $A[1]$ is A shifted up one degree so that $A[1]^n = A^{n-1}$. Define $B = B(A)$ as follows. Let $B^n = A^n \times A^{n-1}$, and let $d : B^n \longrightarrow B^{n-1}$ be $d(x, y) = (da + (-1)^n b, db)$. Clearly, $d^2 = 0$ so that $B \in Ch^+(\mathcal{A}b)$. We define $f : B \longrightarrow A[1]$ as the obvious map: $f^n : A^n \longrightarrow A^{n-1} \longrightarrow A^{n-1}$ is just π_2. It is obvious that this is a chain map. Furthermore, B is acyclic in the sense that each homology class $H_n B = 0$. Using the preceding facts about homotopy pullbacks, since $B \longrightarrow A[1]$ is a fibration, the pullback of the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & B \arrow[d] \\
0 \arrow[r] & A[1]
\end{tikzcd}$$

is in fact the homotopy pullback. The pullback P has the property that any $g : C \longrightarrow B$ such that $fg = 0$ factors through P. First we see that there is a map $h : A \longrightarrow B$ given by in degree n by $x \mapsto (x, 0)$. and that $fh = 0$. It is easy to see that a map g such that $fg = 0$ is precisely a map $C \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow B$. Hence, A is the pullback. Since there is a weak equivalence from the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & B \arrow[d] \\
0 \arrow[r] & A[1]
\end{tikzcd}$$

to

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] \\
0 \arrow[r] & A[1],
\end{tikzcd}$$

A is the homotopy pullback of the latter diagram, whence $A[1]$ is a delooping of A.

□

Since $G[1]$ is a delooping of G, it follows that $Tors_G(X) = \hom(X, \widetilde{G[1]}) \simeq \widetilde{G[1]}(X)$, whence $Tors_G = \widetilde{G[1]}$. Having defined $Tors_G$, we define $Tors_G^n$, which is well defined up to local weak equivalence. Let $Tors_G^n := \widetilde{G[n]}$, where the fibrant replacement $\widetilde{G[n]}$ is chosen to be a sheaf of simplicial abelian groups. It was shown in Lemma 5.5 that it is possible to make such a choice.

Proposition 7.4. *Let G be a presheaf of simplicial abelian groups. Then $Tors^n_G = \widetilde{G[n]} = Tors_{Tors^{n-1}_G}$.*

Proof. This follows by induction. By definition, $Tors_{Tors^{n-1}_G} = Tors_{\widetilde{G[n-1]}} = ((G[n-1])^{\sim}[1])^{\sim}$. Since the Dold-Kan correspondence commutes with taking stalks, which is to say that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Ch^+(p\mathcal{A}b) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Pre_{sAb} \arrow[d] \\
Ch^+(Ab) \arrow[r] & sAb
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes if the vertical arrows represent taking stalks at a point x. Therefore, for a local weak equivalence $A \longrightarrow B$ in Pre_{sAb}, the induced map $A[1] \longrightarrow B[1]$ is a local weak equivalence. Therefore, given $A \in Pre_{sAb}$ with sheafification $\tilde{A}$, the local weak equivalence $A \longrightarrow \tilde{A}$ induces a local weak equivalence $A[1] \longrightarrow \tilde{A}[1]$. Hence, $\widetilde{A[1]}$ is weakly equivalent to $\tilde{A}[1]$. From here it is easy to see that $\widetilde{G[n]}$ is weakly equivalent to $((G[n-1])^{\sim}[1])^{\sim}$. The result follows. ☐

7.1 Comparison with other Approaches

Jardine and Luo have taken a different approach to principal bundles [26, 27], and we now compare their formulations with those of [15]. Here we consider only the case where $\mathcal{C}$ is the site of open sets on a space X so that X is the terminal object in $\mathcal{C}$. Throughout this section, fix a space X and a sheaf G of simplicial groups on X. Let $G - sPre(\mathcal{C})$ denote the simplicial presheaves on X with G-action.

Lemma 7.5. *There is a cofibrantly generated closed model structure on the category $G - sPre(\mathcal{C})$ of simplicial G-presheaves, where a map is a fibration (resp. weak equivalence) if the underlying map of simplicial presheaves is a global fibration (resp. local weak equivalence).*

Definition 17.

1. Let $G - Tors$ be the category of cofibrant fibrant simplicial G-presheaves P such that $P/G \longrightarrow *$ is a hypercover (i.e. local trivial fibration). We call the objects in $G - Tors$ *G-principal bundles.* A G-principal bundle P is called a principal bundle over $X = P/G$.
2. Choose a factorization $\emptyset \longrightarrow EG \longrightarrow X$ in $G - sPre(\mathcal{C})$ where the first map is a cofibration and the second is a trivial fibration. Let $\mathbb{B}G = EG/G$.

Note that EG and $\mathbb{B}G$ are defined up to weak homotopy equivalence in $G - sPre(\mathcal{C})$.

Lemma 7.6. *Any $\mathbb{B}G$ is a delooping object of G.*

Proof. We would like to see that G is a homotopy pullback of

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & * \arrow[d] \\
* \arrow[r] & \mathbb{B}G
\end{tikzcd}$$

in (some/any) model structure where the weak equivalences are the local weak equivalences.

In Remark 4 of [27], the following observation is made. Let WG and $\overline{W}G$ be defined sectionwise. That is to say, $(WG)(U) = W(G(U))$ and $(\overline{W}G)(U) = \overline{W}(G(U))$, where W and $\overline{W}$ are the standard constructions [18, 11, 28]. By taking cofibrant replacements and factorizing maps, we can find objects EG and $\tilde{W}G$ in $G - sPre(\mathcal{C})$ together with maps $WG \xleftarrow{p} \tilde{W}G \xrightarrow{j} EG$ such that p is a trivial fibration in $G - sPre(\mathcal{C})$, j is a trivial cofibration in $G - sPre(\mathcal{C})$, and $\tilde{W}G$ is cofibrant. Since p is a weak equivalence of cofibrant simplicial G-spaces, it induces a weak equivalence $\tilde{W}G/G \longrightarrow WG/G = \overline{W}G$. Similarly, j induces a weak equivalence $\tilde{W}G/G \longrightarrow EG/G = \mathbb{B}G$. We therefore have a sequence of weak equivalences in the diagram category: from

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \tilde{W}G \arrow[d] \\
* \arrow[r] & \tilde{W}G/G
\end{tikzcd}$$

to

$$\begin{tikzcd} & WG \arrow[d] \\ * \arrow[r] & \overline{W}G \end{tikzcd}$$

and also to

$$\begin{tikzcd} & EG \arrow[d] \\ * \arrow[r] & \mathbb{B}G, \end{tikzcd}$$

which maps to

$$\begin{tikzcd} & * \arrow[d] \\ * \arrow[r] & \mathbb{B}G. \end{tikzcd}$$

by a weak equivalence. Replacing an object in the diagram category by a weakly equivalent one does not change the homotopy pullback. Hence, we need only show that G is the homotopy pullback of

$$\begin{tikzcd} & WG \arrow[d] \\ * \arrow[r] & \overline{W}G. \end{tikzcd}$$

First we show that the pullback of $*\longrightarrow\overline{W}G \longleftarrow WG$ is in fact a homotopy pullback. The homotopy pullback is simply the homotopy limit in the model category $Pre^{proj,loc}_{sSet}$. From the paragraph preceding Proposition , we know that to compute the homotopy limit in the local model structure, it is enough to compute it in the global projective model structure. We know that Pre^{proj}_{sSet} is proper [14]. It is well known that in a right proper model category, the pullback of a diagram $X\longrightarrow Z\longleftarrow Y$ is the homotopy pullback provided that one of the morphisms $X\longrightarrow Z$ or $Y\longrightarrow Z$ is a fibration. In the global projective model structure, $WG\longrightarrow\overline{W}G$ is a fibration, so the homotopy pullback of the original diagram is simply the pullback of $*\longrightarrow WG\longleftarrow\overline{W}G$.

The pullback can be taken sectionwise, and the reader can consult [18] for an exposition of the fact that sectionwise, G is isomorphic to the pullback of

$$\begin{tikzcd} & WG \arrow[d] \\ * \arrow[r] & \overline{W}G. \end{tikzcd}$$

□

Lemma 7.7. *Any delooping object BG is weakly equivalent to a classifying space.*

Proof. Take a classifying space $\mathbb{B}G$. By Lemma 7.6, $\mathbb{B}G$ is a delooping of G. However, delooping is well-defined up to weak equivalence, so since BG and $\mathbb{B}G$ are both deloopings, they are isomorphic in the homotopy category. □

Alternately, a straightforward calculation shows that $G[1]$ is weakly equivalent to $\overline{W}G$ and hence $\mathbb{B}G$.

8 Appendix

8.1 Deligne's Theorem

Let $Pic^1_\omega = \{A \in Pic_\omega \mid A = A_1\}$, and let Pic denote the category of Picard categories in the sense of Deligne [12]. That is, a Picard category $\mathcal{C}$ is a quadruple $(\mathcal{C}, +, \sigma, \tau)$, where $+ : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a functor such that for all objects $x \in \mathcal{C}$, $x+ : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is an equivalence, and addition is commutative and associative up to isomorphisms τ and σ. In this section we explain in detail the relationship between Pic^1_ω and Pic.

Lemma 8.1. *Let $\mathcal{C} \in Pic$. For any objects x,$y \in \mathcal{C}$, $id_x + id_y = id_{x+y}$ whenever x is isomorphic to y.*

Proof. Take any $g \in Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y)$. Then

$$(g \circ id_x) + (g^{-1} \circ id_y) = (g + g^{-1}) \circ (id_x + id_y)$$

$$g + g^{-1} = (g + g^{-1})(id_x + id_y)$$

$$id_{x+y} = id_x + id_y$$

□

Lemma 8.2. *Assume $\mathcal{C} \in Pic$ such that $+$ is strictly commutative and associative.*

1. *For any $f \in Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y)$ in $\mathcal{C}$, $f + f^{-1} = id_{x+y}$.*
2. *For any $f \in H_{\mathcal{C}}(a, b)$, $g \in Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(b, c)$, $id_b + g \circ f = g + f$.*
3. *Assume that for every $x \in Ob(\mathcal{C})$, $x+ : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is actually an isomorphism. Then $Ob(\mathcal{C})$ is an abelian group. For all $f \in Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y)$, $f + id_0 = f$, and there exists a unique $h \in Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(-x, -y)$ such that $f + h = id_0$. Hence, $Hom_{\mathcal{C}} = \cup_{x,y \in ob(\mathcal{C})}(x, y)$ is also an abelian group.*

Proof.

1. $id_{x+y} = id_x + id_y = id_x + f \circ f^{-1} = (id_x \circ id_x) + (g \circ g^{-1}) = (id_x + g) \circ (id_x + g^{-1}) = (id_x + g) \circ (g^{-1} + id_x) = (id_x \circ g^{-1}) + (g \circ id_x) = g^{-1} + g$
2. $g \circ f + id_b = (g \circ f) + (g^{-1} \circ g) = (g + g^{-1}) \circ (f + g) = id_{x+y} \circ (f + g) = f + g$
3. The first claim is obvious. Since $0 + 0 = 0$, $id_0 + id_0 = id_0$. Therefore, for $f \in Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y)$, $id_0 + (id_0 + f) = id_0 + f$. However, since $0+ : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is an equivalence of categories, it gives a bijection $Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y) \longrightarrow Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y)$ sending $f \mapsto id_0 + f$. Since this is injective, $id_0 + f = f$. We showed that $f + f^{-1} = id_{x+y}$, so $f + (f^{-1} + id_{-x-y}) = id_{x+y} + id_{-x-y} = id_0$. We have showed the existence of an additive inverse $h = f^{-1} + id_{-x-y}$ to f. To show uniqueness. If $f + g = id_0 = f + h$, $g + id_0 = g + (f + h) = (g + f) + h = id_0 + h$. Again, since $0+$ is an equivalence, $h = g$.

□

Proposition 8.3. *Pic^1_{strict} consists of all small Picard categories in Pic such that $+$ is strictly associative and commutative (i.e. τ and σ are identities) and for each $x \in ob(\mathcal{C})$, $x+ : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is an isomorphism, not just an equivalence.*

Proof. Clearly any 1-category in $Pic^1_{strict} \subset Pic$ is a small Picard category satisfying these properties. On the other hand, if a small Picard category $\mathcal{C} \in Pic$ satisfies these properties, lemma 8.2 shows that $\mathcal{C}_1 = Hom_{\mathcal{C}}$ is actually an abelian group, and sending the inclusion $ob(\mathcal{C}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_1$ $(x \mapsto id_x)$ is an inclusion of abelian groups. Furthermore, lemma 8.2 demonstrates that the second property $f \circ g = f + g - s_0 f$ is satisfied. □

8.2 Nerve and Path Functors for ω-categories

We wish to see that ω-descent and Čech descent are equivalent for ω-groupoids generally, not just Picard ω-categories. Integral to our proof for Picard ω-categories was a description of the nerve of $A[1]^{a,b}$. As a step towards extending the proof to ω-groupoids, we give a characterization of the nerve of $A[1]^{a,b}$ for any $A \in \omega Cat$ and $a, b \in A_0$.

Proposition 8.4. *Let A be an ω-category. Then*

$$Hom_{\omega Cat}(\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}^n)), A[1]^{a,b}) \simeq \{f \in Hom_{\omega Cat}(\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}^n \times \tilde{\Delta}^1), A) \mid f(\langle \underline{u}, 0\rangle) = a,\ f(\langle \underline{u}, 1\rangle) = b \textit{ for all } \underline{u} \in \tilde{\Delta}^n \times \tilde{\Delta}^1\}.$$

Proof. Let $C = \tilde{\Delta}^n \times \tilde{\Delta}^1$. For $(M, P) \in \mathcal{N}(C)$, let $\Theta((M,P)) = (M \cap \tilde{\Delta}^n \times \tilde{\Delta}^1_1, P \cap \tilde{\Delta}^n \times \tilde{\Delta}^1_1) \in \mathcal{N}(C)$. Notice that $\tilde{\Delta}^n \times \tilde{\Delta}^1_1 = \tilde{\Delta}^n \times \{(01)\}$. Recall from §4.1.1 that $\mathcal{O}(C)$ is generated by atoms $\langle c\rangle$ for $c \in C$. This implies that every element in $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}(C)$ is a gotten by a composition of atoms $\alpha = \langle c_1\rangle *_{k_1} c_2 *_{k_2} \dots *_{k_{t-1}} \langle c_t\rangle$ for $c_1, \dots c_t \in C$. We omit parentheses in such compositions for generality and ease of exposition. Viewing Θ as a map of sets $\Theta : \mathcal{O}(C) \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}(C)$, for $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}(C)$, let $\overline{\alpha}$ denote the set $\Theta^{-1}(\Theta\alpha)$. We will prove the following statements:

1. $\Theta(s_n(M,P)) = s_n(\Theta(M,P))$, and $\Theta(t_n(M,P)) = t_n(\Theta(M,P))$.
2. If N, $Q \subset \tilde{\Delta}^n \times \tilde{\Delta}^1_0$, then Θ neglects composition with (N,Q), i.e. $\Theta((M,P) *_k (N,Q)) = \Theta(M,P)$ and $\Theta((N,Q) *_k (M,P)) = \Theta(M,P)$ whenever the compositions are defined. More generally, for any (N,Q), (M,P), $\Theta((N,Q) *_k (M,P)) = \Theta(N,Q) *_k \Theta(M,P)$
3. For $\underline{u} \in \tilde{\Delta}^n$, $\Theta\langle \underline{u}, (01)\rangle = \langle \underline{u}\rangle \times \{(01)\}$, and $s_n(\langle \underline{u}\rangle \times \{(01)\}) = s_{n-1}\langle \underline{u}\rangle \times \{(01)\}$ (similarly for t_n).
4. If $\langle \underline{u}, (01)\rangle \in \overline{(\langle \underline{x}, (01)\rangle)}$, $\langle \underline{v}, (01)\rangle \in \overline{(\langle \underline{y}, (01)\rangle)}$ and we are able to compose $\langle \underline{x}, (01)\rangle *_k \langle \underline{y}, (01)\rangle$, then one can form the composition $\langle \underline{u}\rangle *_{k-1} \langle \underline{v}\rangle$ in $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}^n)$. In this case, $\Theta(\langle \underline{x}, (01)\rangle *_k \langle \underline{y}, (01)\rangle) = \langle \underline{u}\rangle *_{k-1} \langle \underline{v}\rangle \times \{(01)\}$.

The proofs of (1)-(3), are essentially based on the observation that the operations involved in taking the source and target and composition respect the decomposition of a subset $S_n \subset C_n = \bigsqcup_{p+q=n} \tilde{\Delta}^n_p \times \tilde{\Delta}^1_q$ into $S_n = \bigsqcup_{p+q=n} S_n \cap (\tilde{\Delta}^n_p \times \tilde{\Delta}^1_q)$. To be more precise, the operations consist of replacing a set M by M_n, $|M|_n$, or $(M \setminus M_n)$ as well as taking unions. Let $C_{*,1} = \tilde{\Delta}^n \times \tilde{\Delta}^1_1$. Clearly, $(M \cap C_{*,1})_n = M_n \cap C_{*,1}$. Also, $(P \cup M) \cap C_{*,1} = (P \cap C_{*,1}) \cup (M \cap C_{*,1})$. That $|M \cap C_{*,1}|_n = |M|_n \cap C_{*,1}$ follows from the previous two properties together with the fact that $|M|_n = \bigcup_{k=0}^n M_k$. Finally, the first property shows that intersecting with $C_{*,1}$ respects grading so that $(M \setminus M_n) \cap C_{*,1} = (M \cap C_{*,1}) \setminus (M_n \cap C_{*,1}) = (M \cap C_{*,1}) \setminus (M \cap C_{*,1})_n$. Statements (1) - (3) now follow easily.

1. $\Theta(s_n(M,P)) = \Theta(|M|_n, M_n \cup |P|_{n-1}) = (|M|_n \cap \tilde{\Delta}^n \times \tilde{\Delta}^1_1, (M_n \cup |P|_{n-1}) \cap \tilde{\Delta}^n \times \tilde{\Delta}^1_1) = (|M \cap \tilde{\Delta}^n \times \tilde{\Delta}^1_1|_n, (M_n \cap \tilde{\Delta}^n \times \tilde{\Delta}^1_1) \cup |P \cap \tilde{\Delta}^n \times \tilde{\Delta}^1_1|_{n-1}) = s_n(\Theta(M,P))$. The proof for t_n is similar.
2. First suppose that we can form the composition $(N,Q) *_k (M,P) = (M \cup (N \setminus N_n), (P \setminus P_n) \cup Q)$. It follows from the observations in the previous paragraph together with the fact that $\Theta(N,Q) = (\emptyset, \emptyset)$ that $\Theta((N,Q) *_k (M,P)) = (M \cap C_{*,1}, (P \cap C_{*,1}) \setminus (P_n \cap C_{*,1})$. In order for (N,Q) and (M,P) to be composable, we require that $s_n(N,Q) = t_n(M,P)$, which implies that $P_n = N_n$, whence $P_n \cap C_{*,1} = \emptyset$. Therefore, $\Theta((N,Q) *_k (M,P) = (M \cap C_{*,1}, P \cap C_{*,1}) = \Theta(M,P)$. Showing that $\Theta(M,P) *_n (N,Q) = \Theta(M,P)$ follows similarly. More generally, for any (M,P), (N,Q),

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta((N,Q) *_k (M,P)) &= (M \cap C_{*,1}, (P \cap C_{*,1}) \setminus (P_n \cap C_{*,1}) \\
&= ((M \cup (N \setminus N_n)) \cap C_{*,1}, (Q \cup (P \setminus P_n)) \cap C_{*,1} \\
&= ((M \cap C_{*,1}) \cup (N \setminus N_n) \cap C_{*,1}, (Q \cap C_{*,1}) \cup (P \setminus P_n) \cap C_{*,1}) \\
&= ((M \cap C_{*,1}) \cup (N \cap C_{*,1} \setminus N_n \cap C_{*,1}), (Q \cap C_{*,1}) \cup (P \cap C_{*,1} \setminus P_n \cap C_{*,1})) \\
&= (N \cap C_{*,1}, Q \cap C_{*,1}) *_k (M \cap C_{*,1}, P \cap C_{*,1}) \\
&= \Theta(N,Q) *_k \Theta(M,P)
\end{aligned}$$

3. First we verify the claim that for $\underline{u} \in \tilde{\Delta}^n_r$ and $(M, P) = \Theta(\langle \underline{u}, (01)\rangle) \in \mathcal{N}(C)$, $M_{n-k} = \mu(u)_{n-k-1} \times \{(01)\}$ and $P_{n-k} = \pi(u)_{n-k-1} \times \{(01)\}$ for $0 \leq k \leq n$, where $\mu(z)$, and $\pi(z)$ are as in §4.1.1. Let us prove the statement by induction on k. Let $z = (\underline{u}, (01))$ so that $< z >= (\mu(z), \pi(z))$. For $k = 0$, $\mu(z)_n = \{(\underline{u}, (01)\}$, so $\Theta(\mu(z))_n = \{\underline{u}, (01)\}$. Now suppose that the claim is true up for all $i \leq k$. Then $\mu(z)_{n-k} = \mu(u)_{n-k-1} \times \{(01)\} \cup S_k \times \{(0)\} \cup T_k \times \{(1)\}$ for some subsets S_k, $T_k \subset \tilde{\Delta}^n_{n-k}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(z)_{n-(k+1)} &= \mu(z)^-_{n-k} \setminus \mu(z)^+_{n-k} \\ &= (\mu(\underline{u})^-_{n-k} \times \{(01)\} \cup \mu(\underline{u})_{n-k} \times \{(01)\}^{\pm} \cup S^-_k \times \{(0)\} \cup T^-_k \times \{(1)\}) \\ &\quad \setminus(\mu(\underline{u})^+_{n-k} \times \{(01)\} \cup \mu(\underline{u})_{n-k} \times \{(01)\}^{\pm} \cup S^+_k \times \{(0)\} \cup T^+_k \times \{(1)\}) \\ &= \mu(\underline{u})_{n-k-1} \times \{(01)\} \cup S_{k+1} \times \{(0)\} \cup T_{k+1} \times \{(1)\} \end{aligned}$$

for some sets T_{k+1}, S_{k+1} and where ± means, that it can be + or − depending on the parity of n and k. Therefore, $\Theta(\mu(z))_{n-(k+1)} = \mu(\underline{u})_{n-k-1} \times \{(01)\}$. It follows by induction that $\Theta(\mu(z))_{n-k} = \mu(\underline{u})_{n-k-1} \times \{(01)\}$ for all $0 \leq k \leq n$. A similar shows that $\Theta(\pi(z))_{n-k} = \pi(\underline{u})_{n-k-1} \times \{(01)\}$ for all $0 \leq k \leq n$. We conclude that $\Theta(\mu(z), \pi(z)) = \langle \underline{u} \rangle \times \{(01)\}$. Since $(\langle \underline{u} \rangle \times \{(01)\})_n = \langle \underline{u} \rangle_{n-1} \times \{(01)\}$, an easy calculation shows that $s_n(\langle \underline{u} \rangle \times \{(01)\}) = (s_{n-1}\langle \underline{u} \rangle) \times \{(01)\}$ and $t_n(\langle \underline{u} \rangle \times \{(01)\}) = (t_{n-1}\langle \underline{u} \rangle) \times \{(01)\}$

4. Observe that $\Theta(\langle \underline{u}, (01)\rangle) = \Theta(\langle x, (01)\rangle)$ and $\Theta(\langle \underline{v}, (01)\rangle) = \Theta(\langle y, (01)\rangle)$. Since $\langle \underline{x}, (01)\rangle$ and $\langle y, (01)\rangle$ are composable, $s_k\langle \underline{x}, (01)\rangle = t_k\langle y, (01)\rangle$, so by part (1), $s_k\Theta(\langle \underline{u}, (01)\rangle) = t_k\Theta(\langle \underline{v}, (01)\rangle)$ and we can form the composition $\Theta(\langle \underline{u}, (01)\rangle) *_k \Theta(\langle \underline{v}, (01)\rangle)$. But we just showed that $\Theta(\langle \underline{u}, (01)\rangle) = (\mu(\underline{u}) \times \{(01)\}, \pi(\underline{u}) \times \{(01)\}) = \langle \underline{u} \rangle \times \{(01)\}$ and $\Theta(\langle \underline{v}, (01)\rangle) = (\mu(\underline{v}) \times \{(01)\}, \pi(\underline{v}) \times \{(01)\}) = \langle \underline{v} \rangle \times \{(01)\}$. It follows that $\langle \underline{u} \rangle$ and $\langle \underline{u} \rangle$ are composable since $s_{k-1}\langle \underline{u} \rangle \times \{(01)\} = s_k(\langle \underline{u} \rangle \times \{(01)\}) = s_k\Theta\langle \underline{u}, (01)\rangle = t_k\Theta\langle \underline{v}, (01)\rangle = t_k(\langle \underline{v} \rangle \times \{(01)\}) = t_{k-1}\langle \underline{v} \rangle \times \{(01)\}$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(\langle \underline{x}, (01)\rangle *_k \langle \underline{y}, (01)\rangle) &= \Theta(\langle \underline{x}, (01)\rangle) *_k \Theta(\langle \underline{y}, (01)\rangle) \\ &= \Theta(\langle \underline{u}, (01)\rangle) *_k \Theta(\langle \underline{v}, (01)\rangle) \\ &= (\mu(\underline{v}) \times \{(01)\} \cup (\mu(\underline{u}) \setminus \mu(\underline{u})_{k-1}) \times \{(01)\}, \pi(\underline{u}) \times \{(01)\} \cup (\pi(\underline{v}) \setminus \pi(\underline{v})_{k-1}) \times \{(01)\}) \\ &= ((\mu(\underline{v}) \cup (\mu(\underline{u}) \setminus \mu(\underline{u})_{k-1})) \times \{(01)\}, (\pi(\underline{u}) \cup (\pi(\underline{v}) \setminus \pi(\underline{v})_{k-1})) \times \{(01)\}) \\ &= (\langle \underline{u} \rangle *_{k-1} \langle \underline{v} \rangle) \times \{(01)\}. \end{aligned}$$

We are now able to prove the main proposition. We will use induction to give a bijection

$$Hom_{\omega Cat}(|\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}^n)|_k, A[1]^{a,b}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}^n_k := \{f \in Hom(|\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}^n \times \tilde{\Delta}^1)|_{k+1}, A) \mid f(\langle \underline{u}, (0)\rangle) = a \textit{ and } f(\langle \underline{u}, (1)\rangle) = a \text{ for all } \underline{u} \in \tilde{\Delta}^n\}.$$

This bijection will send g to the f in $\mathcal{H}^n_k$ such that $f(\langle \underline{u}, (0)\rangle) = a$, $f(\langle \underline{u}, (0)\rangle) = a$ and $f(\langle \underline{u}, (01)\rangle = g(\langle \underline{u} \rangle)$ for all $\underline{u} \in \tilde{\Delta}^n$, and $f \in \mathcal{H}^n_k$ corresponds to g such that $g(\langle \underline{u} \rangle) = f(\langle \underline{u}, (01)\rangle)$. We now proceed by induction.

For $k = 0$, a functor $g \in Hom_{\omega Cat}(|\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}^n)|_0, A[1]^{a,b})$ consists of a choice of $n+1$ objects $g(0)$,...,$g(n) \in A[1]^{a,b}_0$. Equivalently, this is a choice of $n+1$ 1-morphisms in A from a to b. Since $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}^n \times \tilde{\Delta}^1)$ is freely generated by its atoms $\langle c \rangle$ for $c \in \tilde{\Delta}^n \times \tilde{\Delta}^1$, an f in $\mathcal{H}^n_1 \subset Hom_{\omega Cat}(|\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}^n \times \tilde{\Delta}^1)|_1, A)$ is freely determined by $f(\langle c \rangle)$ for $c \in (\tilde{\Delta}^n \times \tilde{\Delta}^1)_i$, $i = 0, 1$ as long as f is compatible with source and target maps s_0, t_0. Since we require that $f(\langle \underline{u}, (0)\rangle) = a$ and $f(\langle \underline{u}, (1)\rangle) = b$ for all u, we can freely choose $f(\langle \underline{u}, (01)\rangle) \in A_1$ as long as $s_0 f(\langle \underline{u}, (01)\rangle) = a$ and $t_0 f(\langle \underline{u}, (01)\rangle) = b$ for $\underline{u} \in \tilde{\Delta}^n_0$. Therefore, a choice of $f \in \mathcal{H}^n_1$ is a choice of $(n+1)$ 1-morphisms in A from a to b. It is evident that under this identification, for $\underline{u} \in \tilde{\Delta}^n_0$, $g(\langle \underline{u} \rangle) = f(\langle \underline{u}, (01)\rangle)$.

Now assume that $Hom_{\omega Cat}(|\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}^n)|_i, A[1]^{a,b})$ is identified with $\mathcal{H}^n_i$ as above for all $i \leq k$. Since $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}^n \times \tilde{\Delta}^1)$ is freely generated by its atoms, a functor $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{H}^n_{k+1}$ is equivalent to a functor $f \in \mathcal{H}^n_k$ together with any choice of $(k+2)$-morphisms $\hat{f}(\langle \underline{u}, (01)\rangle)$ for $\underline{u} \in \tilde{\Delta}^n_{k+1}$ such that $s_{k+1} f(\langle \underline{u}, (01)\rangle) = f(s_{k+1}(\langle \underline{u}, (01)\rangle))$ and $t_{k+1} f(\langle \underline{u}, (01)\rangle) = f(t_{k+1}(\langle \underline{u}, (01)\rangle))$. This is because all $f(\langle \underline{u}, (i)\rangle)$ for $\underline{u} \in \tilde{\Delta}^n_{k+2}$ are forced to be a or b. Also, $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}^n)$ is freely generated by its atoms, so a choice of $\hat{g} \in Hom_{\omega Cat}(|\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}^n)|_{k+1}, A[1]^{a,b})$ is equivalent to a choice of $g \in Hom_{\omega Cat}(|\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}^n)|_k, A[1]^{a,b})$ together with a choice of $\hat{g}\langle \underline{u} \rangle$ for $\underline{u} \in \tilde{\Delta}^n_{k+1}$ with the appropriate k-source and target.

Let us then begin with $f \in \mathcal{H}^n_k$, which corresponds to $g \in Hom_{\omega Cat}(|\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}^n|_k, A[1]^{a,b})$. First we show that if $x \in \mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}^n \times \tilde{\Delta}^1)$ is not in the sub-ω-category generated by elements of the form $\langle \underline{u}, (i) \rangle$, then $f(x) = gp_1\Theta x$, where p_1 denotes projection onto the first factor. Choose such an $x \in \mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}^n \times \tilde{\Delta}^1)$. Since $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}^n \times \tilde{\Delta}^1)$ is freely generated by its atoms, it is also generated by its atoms, so is a composition of atoms: $x = \langle x_1 \rangle *_{l_1} \langle x_2 \rangle *_{l_2} ... *_{l_{e-1}} \langle x_e \rangle$ for some $x_1, ..., x_e \in \tilde{\Delta}^n \times \tilde{\Delta}^1$ (omitting parentheses). Since $f(\langle \underline{u}, (i) \rangle)$ is a 0-object in A for $i = 0, 1$, the value of f is not affected by composition with elements of the form $\langle \underline{u}, (i) \rangle$. Similarly, Θ also neglects composition with these elements. Let $x_{i_1}, ... x_{i_r}$ be the ones of the form $x_{i_k} = \langle \underline{u}_{i_k}, (01) \rangle$, so

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= f\langle x_1 \rangle *_{l_1} f\langle x_2 \rangle *_{l_2} ... *_{l_{e-1}} \langle f x_e \rangle \\ &= f\langle x_{i_1} \rangle *_{l_{i1}} f\langle x_{i_2} \rangle *_{l_{i2}} ... *_{l_{ir}} f\langle x_{i_r} \rangle \\ &= f\langle u_{i_1}, (01) \rangle *_{l_{i1}} f\langle u_{i_2}, (01) \rangle *_{l_{i2}} ... *_{l_{ir}} f\langle u_{i_r}, (01) \rangle, whereas \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(x) &= \Theta\langle x_1 \rangle *_{l_1} \theta\langle x_2 \rangle *_{l_2} ... *_{l_{e-1}} \langle \Theta x_e \rangle \\ &= \Theta\langle x_{i_1} \rangle *_{l_{i1}} \Theta\langle x_{i_2} \rangle *_{l_{i2}} ... *_{l_{ir}} \Theta\langle x_{i_r} \rangle \\ &= \Theta\langle u_{i_1}, (01) \rangle *_{l_{i1}} \Theta\langle u_{i_2}, (01) \rangle *_{l_{i2}} ... *_{l_{ir}} \Theta\langle u_{i_r}, (01) \rangle \\ &= (\langle u_{i_1} \rangle *_{l_{i1}-1} \langle u_{i_2} \rangle *_{l_{i2}-1} ... *_{l_{ir}-1} \langle u_{i_r} \rangle) \times \{(01)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $gp_1\Theta x = g\langle u_{i_1} \rangle *_{l_{i1}-1} \langle g u_{i_2} \rangle *_{l_{i2}-1} ... *_{l_{ir}-1} \langle g u_{i_r} \rangle)$, and $f(x) = f\langle u_{i_1}, (01) \rangle *_{l_{i1}} f\langle u_{i_2}, (01) \rangle *_{l_{i2}} ... *_{l_{ir}} f\langle u_{i_r}, (01) \rangle$. By induction hypothesis, $f\langle u_{i_j}, (01) \rangle = g\langle u_{i_j} \rangle$ for each j, and since the compositions appearing in $gp_1\Theta x$ are in $A[1]^{a,b}$, $*[1]_n = *_{n+1}$ for any n so that the compositions coincide too.

Now, for $\underline{u} \in \tilde{\Delta}^n_{k+1}$, $f(t_{k+1}(\langle \underline{u}, (01) \rangle)) = gp_1\theta t_{k+1}\langle \underline{u}, (01) \rangle = gp_1 t_{k+1}\theta\langle \underline{u}, (01) \rangle = gp_1 t_{k+1}(\langle \underline{u} \rangle \times \{(01)\} = gp_1 t_k\langle \underline{u} \rangle \times \{(01)\} = g(t_k\langle \underline{u} \rangle) = t[1]_k g(\langle \underline{u} \rangle) = t_{k+1} g(\langle \underline{u} \rangle)$. Similarly, $f(s_{k+1}(\langle \underline{u}, (01) \rangle)) = s_{k+1} g(\langle \underline{u} \rangle)$. In summary, an extension $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{H}^n_{k+1}$ of f is equivalent to a choice of $(k+2)$-morphisms $\hat{f}(\langle \underline{u}, (01) \rangle)$ for $\underline{u} \in \tilde{\Delta}^n_{k+1}$ such that $s_{k+1} f\langle \underline{u}, (01) \rangle = s_{k+1} g(\langle \underline{u} \rangle)$ and $t_{k+1} f\langle \underline{u}, (01) \rangle = s_{k+1} g(\langle \underline{u} \rangle)$.

In comparison, an extension $\hat{g}$ of g consists of any choice of $(k+1)$-morphisms $g\langle \underline{u} \rangle \in A[1]^{a,b}$ for $\underline{u} \in \tilde{\Delta}^n_{k+1}$ such that $s[1]_k \hat{g}\langle \underline{u} \rangle = g s_k \langle \underline{u} \rangle$ and $t[1]_k \hat{g}\langle \underline{u} \rangle = g t_k \langle \underline{u} \rangle$. Since $g(s_k\langle \underline{u} \rangle) = s[1]_k g\langle \underline{u} \rangle = s_{k+1} g\langle \underline{u} \rangle$ and $g(t_k\langle \underline{u} \rangle) = t_{k+1} g\langle \underline{u} \rangle$, an extension $\hat{g}$ of g is the same as a choice of $(k+2)$-morphisms $\hat{g}\langle \underline{u} \rangle \in A_{k+2}$ such that $s_{k+1}\hat{g}\langle \underline{u} \rangle = s_{k+1} g\langle \underline{u} \rangle$ and $tk + 1\hat{g}\langle \underline{u} \rangle = t_{k+1} g\langle \underline{u} \rangle$. Note that this implies that $s_0\hat{g}\langle \underline{u} \rangle = a$ and $t_0\hat{g}\langle \underline{u} \rangle = b$. It is now evident that the choice for an extension $\hat{f}$ of f is equivalent to a choice of an extension $\hat{g}$ of g. It follows that $\mathcal{H}^n_{k+2}$ is in bijection with $Hom_{\omega Cat}(|\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}^n))|_{k+1}, A[1]^{a,b})$, thus completing our proof by induction. ☐

Corollary 8.5. *For $A \in \omega Cat$,*

1. $NA[1]^{a,b}_n \simeq \{f \in Hom_{Cs}(\Delta^n \otimes \Delta^1, \mathfrak{N}A) \mid f_{|\Delta^n \times 0} = a,\ f_{|\Delta^n \times 1} = b\}$.
2. *If A is an ω-groupoid, there is a weak homotopy equivalence $N(A[1]^{a,b}) \longrightarrow Hom^L_{NA}(a, b)$.*

Proof. 1. Let $\Delta^n \otimes \Delta^1$ denote the complicial set with underlying simplicial set $\Delta^n \times \Delta^1$ and for which the thin r-simplices are $(x, y) \in \Delta^n_r \times \Delta^1_r$ such that for some $i \leq j$ in $[r]$, x is degenerate at i and y is degenerate at j. In [47], Verity proves that there is an isomorphism of ω-categories $\overline{c}^{n,1} : \mathcal{F}_\omega(\Delta^n \otimes \Delta^1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}^n \times \tilde{\Delta}^1)$. Since $\mathcal{F}_\omega$ is left adjoint to the nerve functor $\mathfrak{N} : \omega Cat \longrightarrow Cs$, $Hom_{\omega Cat}(\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}^n \times \tilde{\Delta}^1), A) \simeq Hom_{\omega Cat}(\mathcal{F}_\omega(\Delta^n \otimes \Delta^1), A) \simeq Hom_{Cs}(\Delta^n \otimes \Delta^1, \mathfrak{N}A)$.

By Proposition 8.4, the only thing left to prove is that $\{f \in Hom_{\omega Cat}(\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}^n \times \Delta^1), A) \mid f(\langle \underline{u}, 0 \rangle) = a,\ f(\langle \underline{u}, 1 \rangle) = b$ for all $\underline{u} \in \tilde{\Delta}^n \times \tilde{\Delta}^1\} \subset Hom_{\omega Cat}(\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}^n \times \Delta^1), A)$ corresponds to $Hom_{Cs}(\Delta^n \otimes \Delta^1, NA) \mid f_{|\Delta^n \times \{0\}} = a,\ f_{|\Delta^n \times \{0\}} = b\}$ under the isomorphism $Hom_{\omega Cat}(\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}^n \times \tilde{\Delta}^1), A) \simeq Hom_{Cs}(\Delta^n \otimes$

$\Delta^1, \mathfrak{N}A)$. To describe this isomorphism, we will first need the following two facts, which can be found in [47]. One can take products of maps of parity complexes, so given morphisms $[r] \xrightarrow{\phi} [n]$, $[s] \xrightarrow{\psi} [m]$, there is a morphism $\tilde{\Delta}(\phi) \times \tilde{\Delta}(\psi) : \tilde{\Delta}^r \times \tilde{\Delta}^l \longrightarrow \tilde{\Delta}^n \times \tilde{\Delta}^m$. In fact, $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}(\phi) \times \tilde{\Delta}(\psi))(\langle \underline{u}, \underline{v} \rangle) = \langle \phi\underline{u}, \psi\underline{v} \rangle$. Secondly, there is a morphism $\nabla_r : \tilde{\Delta}^r \longrightarrow \tilde{\Delta}^r$ of parity complexes, which sends $\underline{v} = (v_0 v_1 .. v_r)$ to $\{(v_0 ... v_s, v_s ... v_r) \in \tilde{\Delta}^r_s \times \tilde{\Delta}^r_{r-s} \mid s = 0, 1 ..., r\}$. Now, given $f \in Hom_{\omega Cat}(\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}^n \times \tilde{\Delta}^1), A)$, we describe the corresponding $F \in Hom_{Cs}(\Delta^n \otimes \Delta^1, \mathfrak{N}A)$. Given an r-simplex $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Delta^n_r \times \Delta^1_r$, which we think of as a pair $([r] \xrightarrow{\alpha} [n], [r] \xrightarrow{\beta} [1])$, $F(\alpha, \beta)$ is the composition $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}^r) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}(\nabla_r)} \mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}^r \times \tilde{\Delta}^r) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}(\alpha) \times \tilde{\Delta}(\beta))} \mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}^n \times \tilde{\Delta}^1) \xrightarrow{f} A$ (an r-simplex in $\mathfrak{N}A$). By the universal property of F_ω, $f \circ \mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}(\alpha) \times \tilde{\Delta}(\beta)) \circ \mathcal{O}(\nabla_r) = f \circ c^{n,1} \circ \iota_{(\alpha,\beta)}$. Additionally, $\mathcal{F}_\omega(\Delta^n \otimes \Delta^1)$ is, by definition [47], a quotient $q : F_\omega(\Delta^n \times \Delta^1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_\omega(\Delta^n \otimes \Delta^1)$ of $F_\omega(\Delta^n \times \Delta^1)$, and $\overline{c}^{n,1} \circ q = c^{n,1}$.

Now we will show that f satisfies $f(\langle \underline{u}, (0) \rangle) = a$ and $f(\langle \underline{u}, (1) \rangle) = b$ for all $\underline{u} \in \tilde{\Delta}^n$ if and only if $F(x, (0)) = a$ and $F(x, (1)) = b$ for all r and all r-simplices $x \in \Delta^n$. For $i \in \{0, 1\}$, we write (i) as shorthand for the r-simplex $(ii...i)$ with i listed r times. Suppose f satisfies this condition. To show that F has the desired property, it is enough to verify the statement for non-degenerate simplices $(x, (i))$ since if it is true for non-degenerate simplices, then $F(\sigma_k(x, (i)) = \sigma_k F(x, (i)) = \sigma_k a = a$, where σ_k is the k-th degeneracy map. Since it is enough to verify the statement for non-degenerate simplices, we may also assume that x is non-degenerate, since x is degenerate if and only if $(x, (i))$ is degenerate.

We know that $F(\alpha, \beta) = f \circ c^{n,1} \circ \iota_{(\alpha,\beta)}$. Let $\alpha = (u_0 u_1 ... u_r)$ non-degenerate and $\beta = (i)$. By definition, $\iota_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\langle 01...r \rangle) = [\![\alpha, \beta]\!]$, so $F(\alpha, \beta)\langle 01...r \rangle = f \circ c^{n,1}([\![\alpha, \beta]\!])$, which equals $f(\langle \underline{u}, (i) \rangle)$ by Theorem 255 of [47]. Hence, $F(\alpha, \beta)\langle 01...r \rangle = a$ if $i = 0$ or equals b if $i = 1$. For the general case, we show that $F(\alpha, \beta)\langle \underline{v} \rangle = a$ or b. A k-dimensional $\underline{v} \in \tilde{\Delta}^r_k$ corresponds to a strictly increasing morphism $[k] \xrightarrow{\phi} [r]$. We know that $\iota_{(\alpha,\beta)} \circ \mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}(\phi)) = \iota_{\phi^*(\alpha,\beta)}$, whence $\iota_{(\alpha,\beta)}\langle \underline{v} \rangle = \iota_{(\alpha,\beta)} \circ \mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}(\phi))\langle 01...k \rangle = \iota_{\phi^*(\alpha,\beta)}\langle 01...k \rangle = [\![\phi^* a, \phi^* \beta]\!] = [\![\phi^* \alpha, (i)]\!]$. Then, $F(\alpha, \beta)\langle \underline{v} \rangle = f \circ c^{n,1} \iota_{(\alpha,\beta)}\langle \underline{v} \rangle = f \circ c^{n,1}([\![\phi^* \alpha, (i)]\!]) = f(\langle \underline{u}, (i) \rangle)$ (again by Theorem 255 of [47]), which is equal to a if $i = 0$ or b if $i = 1$. Thus, F is as desired. This argument can be run backwards to show that if $F(\alpha, (0)) = a$, $F(\alpha, (1)) = b$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta^n$, then $f(\langle \underline{u}, (0) \rangle) = a$ and $f(\langle \underline{u}, (1) \rangle) = b$ for all $\underline{u} \in \tilde{\Delta}^n$.

2. Δ^{n+1} is a retract of $\Delta^n \times \Delta^1$, with the inclusion $\Delta^{n+1} \hookrightarrow \Delta^n \times \Delta^1$ given by $(0, 1, ..., n+1) \mapsto (012...nn, 0....01)$ and $p : \Delta^n \times \Delta^1 \longrightarrow \Delta^{n+1}$ chosen to send thin simplices to degenerate ones.

$\square$

8.3 Homotopies

In this section we make some basic observations about homotopies between morphisms of chain complexes from the perspective of ω-categories.

8.3.1 Homotopies

Using the equivalence of $Ch^+(Ab)$ with Pic_ω, we see that homotopies of maps of complexes correspond to the following notion of homotopy between maps F, $G : A \longrightarrow B$ in Pic_ω. A homotopy consists of maps $H^n : A_n \longrightarrow B_{n+1}$ such that $H^n(A_{n-1}) \subset B_n$ and $(t_n - s_n)H^n + H^{n-1}(t_{n-1} - s_{n-1})$ agrees with $G - F$ when we pass to the quotient $A_n / A_{n-1} \longrightarrow B_n / B_{n-1}$.

Lemma 8.6. *For complexes A, $B \in Ch^+(Ab)$, there is a $\mathcal{C} \in Pic_\omega$ such that $\mathcal{C}_0 = \{$ maps of complexes $A \longrightarrow B$ $\}$ and $Hom^1(F, G) = \{$ homotopies from F to G $\}$.*

Proof. For $i > 0$, let H^i consist of all maps from $A \longrightarrow B[-i]$. By this we mean $h \in H^i$ consists of a sequence of maps $h_k : A_k \longrightarrow B_{k-i}$ but not necessarily commuting with d. Define $H^0 = Hom_{Ch^+(Ab)}(A, B)$. Now define a differential $\partial : H^i \longrightarrow H^{i-1}$ by $\partial f = df + (-1)^{i-1} fd$. This makes H^* into a complex of abelian groups. $\tilde{H}$ is the desired ω-category. $\square$

Lemma 8.6 gives the following corollary, an observation also made by Street [46].

Corollary 8.7. *There is an ω category, A such that $A_0 = Ch^+(Ab)$, $A_1 = \{$ maps of complexes $\}$, and $A_1 = \{$ homotopies of maps of complexes $\}$.*

For any ω-category in abelian groups A, there is a group homomorphism $D : A \longrightarrow A$ given by $D = \sum_{n \geq 0} t_n - s_n$. Since we assume that A is a union of the A_n, this sum makes sense because it is a finite sum on A_n. Now we can efficiently define a homtopy between two functors $F, G \in Hom_{Pic_\omega}(A, B)$ by reformulating the description at the beginning of this section.

Definition 18. Let $A, B \in Pic_\omega$ and $F, G \in Hom_{Pic_\omega}(A, B)$. We say that a group homomorphism $H : A \longrightarrow B$ is a *homotopy* if

1. $H(A_n) \subset B_{n+1}$,
2. $DH + HD = G - F$, and
3. $s_n H(s_n - s_{n-1}) = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$.

Lemma 8.8. *Let $f, g : A \longrightarrow B$ be maps in $Ch^+(Ab)$. A homotopy $h : f \longrightarrow g$ is equivalent to a homotopy H from Pf to Pg in Pic_ω.*

Proof. Since $PA = \oplus K_i \simeq A^i$ $K_i = \sigma(A^i)$ as in §3.3 and $PB = \oplus L_i \simeq B^i$, a homomorphism $H : PA \longrightarrow PB$ is determined by $H_i : K_i \longrightarrow PB$. And $s_n H(s_n - s_{n-1})$ for all n if and only if $H_i(K_i) \subset L_{i+1}$. Then for $x = ((0,0), ...(0, dx_n), (x_n, x_n), (0,0), ...) \in K_n$, $Hx = ((0,0), ...(0, dy_{n+1}), (y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, (0,0), ...) \in L_{n+1}$. Defining $h : A^n \longrightarrow B^{n+1}$ by $h = \pi_{n+1} H \sigma$ gives a bijection between maps $h : A \longrightarrow B[1]$ (not necessarily commuting with the differential d) and homomorphisms $H : PA \longrightarrow PB$ such that $s_n H(s_n - s_{n-1})$ and $H(PA_n) \subset PB_{n+1}$. A direct computation shows that with $x = ((0,0), ...(0, dx_n), (x_n, x_n), (0,0), ...) \in K_n$, $(DH + HD)x = ((0,0), ...(0, (hd + dh)dx_n), ((dh + hd)x_n, (dh + hd)x_n), (0,0), ...) \in L_n$. Hence $HD + DH = Pg - Pf$ if and only if $hd + dh = g - f$. □

Remark 8.9. PA is a projective abelian group if and only if each A^i is projective.

Proposition 8.10. *Let $\mathcal{P}$ denote the full subcategory of Pic_ω consisting of objects which are projective abelian groups, and let $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$ denote the category the objects of which are ob($\mathcal{P}$) and the morphisms of which are morphisms in $\mathcal{P}$ modulo homotopy. There is an equivalence of categories $D^{\leq 0}(Ab) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{P}}$.*

Proof. Let $K^{\leq 0}(Proj)$ denote the homotopy category of complexes of projective abelian groups in degrees ≤ 0. Lemma 8.8 shows that $\mathcal{H} : K^{\leq 0}(Proj) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{P}}$ is an equivalence of categories. The theorem now follows since $K^{\leq 0}(Proj) \longrightarrow D^{\leq 0}(Ab)$ is an equivalence. □

8.3.2 Homotopies from the Perspective of ω-Categories

The homotopies described above are algebraic in nature, but we can still define homotopies for ordinary ω-categories. The following definition extends the concept of homotopy for from Pic_ω to ω-cat.

Definition 19. Let $f, g : A \longrightarrow B$ be two functors of ω-categories. A *homotopy* $H : F \longrightarrow G$ is a map $H : A \longrightarrow B$ with $H(A_n) \subset B_{n+1}$, and for $x \in \mathcal{A}_n$, Hx is an $n+1$ isomorphism

$$Ht_{n-1}x *_{n-1} (Ht_{n-2}x *_{n-2} (...(Ht_1 x *_1 (Ht_0 x *_0 fx))..) \overset{Hx}{\longrightarrow}_{n+1} (...((gx *_0 Hs_0 x) *_1 Hs_1 x) *_2 ...) *_{n-1} Hs_{n-1}x$$

Intuitively, a homotopy $H : f \longrightarrow g$ looks like a "natural transformation" from f to g, except any diagram of n-morphisms which should commute only commutes up to an $(n+1)$-isomorphism specified by H.

Proposition 8.11. *Let $f, g : A \longrightarrow B$ be maps of complexes in $Ch^+(Ab)$. A homotopy $h : f \longrightarrow g$ defines a homotopy H in the sense of definition 19.*

Proof. Having defined H on $\tilde{A}_i$ for $i < n$, we define H on $\tilde{A}_n$. Let $x = ((x_0^-, x_0^+), ..., (x_{n-1}^-, x_{n-1}^+), (x_n, x_n), (0,0), ...) \in \tilde{A}_n$. The chain homotopy formula $d(hx_n) = (gx_n + hx_{n-1}^-) - (fx_n + hx_{n-1}^+)$ implies that hx_n is an $(n+1)$-morphism

$$Ht_{n-1}x *_{n-1} (Ht_{n-2}x *_{n-2} (...(Ht_1 x *_1 (Ht_0 x *_0 fx))..) \xrightarrow{Hx}_{n+1} (...((gx *_0 Hs_0 x) *_1 Hs_1 x) *_2 ...) *_{n-1} Hs_{n-1}x$$

as required, so $(Hx)_{n+1}^{\pm} = hx_n$, and $(Hx)_i^{\alpha}$ is determined by $H_{|\tilde{A}_{n-1}}$ for $i < n$. □

If we prefer in Definition 19, we can require that a homotopy H satisfies some compatibility with compositions, eg. $(gy *_0 hx) *_1 (hy *_f x) = h(y *_0 x)$, etc.

References

[1] D. Ara and F. Métayer, The Brown-Golasiński model structure on ∞-groupoids revisited, *Homology, Homotopy and Applications*, 13, no. 1 (2011),pp.121-142

[2] T. Beke, Sheafifiable homotopy model categories, II, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* 164 (2001) 307324

[3] B. Blander, Local Projective Model structures on Simplicial Presheaves, *K-Theory* 24 (2001), no. 3, 283301

[4] D. Bourn, Another denormalization theorem for abelian chain complexes, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* vol. 66, Issue 3, 29 October 1990, pp. 229-249

[5] A. Bousfield and D. Kan, Homotopy Limits, Completions and Localizations, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, no. 304, 1972

[6] L. Breen, On the Classification of 2-stacks and 2-gerbes, Asterisque 225, Socit mathmatique de France, (1994)

[7] R. Brown and M. Golasiński, A model structure for the homotopy theory of crossed complexes, *Cahiers Topologie Géom. Différentielle*, 22, no. 4 (1981),pp. 371-386

[8] R. Brown and P. Higgins, Cubical Abelian Groups with Connections are Equivalent to Chain Complexes, Homology, *Homotopy and Applications* vol. 5(1), 2003, pp. 49-52

[9] R. Brown and P. Higgins, The Equivalence of ∞-groupoids and Crossed complexes, *Cahiers de topologie et geometrie differentielle categoriques*, tome 22 no. 4 (1981), pp. 371-386

[10] R. Brown, P. Higgins, R. Sivera, Nonabelian Aglebraic Topology: filtered spaces, crossed complexes, cubical higher homotopy groupoids, arxiv: AT/0407275

[11] E. Curtis, Simplicial Homotopy Theory, *Advances in Mathematics* 6 (1971), pp. 107-209

[12] P. Deligne, La formule de dualité globale, *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas*, Tome 3. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 19631964 (SGA 4), in: Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 305, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1973, pp. 481587.

[13] A. Dold, Homology of symmetric products and other functors of complexes, *Annals Math.* 68 (1958), pp. 54-80

[14] D. Dugger, S. Hollander, D. Isaksen, D.; Hypercovers and simplicial presheaves, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Coc.* (2004), 136,9

[15] D. Fiorenza, U. Shreiber, J. Stasheff, Čech cocycles for Differential Characteristic Classes–an ∞-Lie theoretic construction, arXiv : 1011.4735v2

[16] H. Fausk, T-model Structures on Chain Complexes of Presheaves, arXiv: math/0612414

[17] H. Gillet and C. Soulé, Filtrations on higher algebraic K-theory, *Algebraic K-Theory, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics*, Vol. 67, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 89 148.

[18] G. Goerss, J. Jardine, Simplicial Homotopy Theory, Birkhauser Progress in Mathematics volume 174, 1999

[19] P. Hirschhorn, Model Categories and Their Localizations, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs v. 99, (American Mathematical Society, 2003)

[20] S. Hollander, A Homotopy Theory for Stacks, arXiv: math/0110247

[21] M. Hovey, Model Category Structures on Complexes of Sheaves, arXiv: math.AG/9909024

[22] M. Hovey, Model Categories, Mathematical Surveys and Monogrphs, vol. 63

[23] J. Jardine, Cocycle Categories, arXiv: 0605198v1

[24] J. Jardine, Presheaves of Chain Complexes, *K-Theory*, vol. 30 (4), 2003, pp. 365-420

[25] J. Jardine, Lectures on Simplicial Presheaves 2007, lecture notes available at

`www.math.uwo.ca/~jardine/papers/Fields-01.pdf`

[26] J. Jardine, Stacks and the Homotopy Theory of Simplicial Sheaves, *Homology, Homotopy and Applications*, vol.3(2), 2001, pp.361384

[27] J. Jardine and Z. Luo, Higher Order Principal Bundles

[28] D. Kan, On Homotopy Theory and C.S.S. Groups, *Annals of Mathematics*, 68 (1958),pp. 38-53

[29] Y. Lafont, F. Métayer, K. Worytkiewicz, A folk model structure on omega-cat, arXiv: 0712.0617v2

[30] T. Leinster, A Survey of Definitions of n-category, *Theory Appl. Categ.* 10 (2002), 1-70

[31] J. Lurie, Higher Topos Theory, arXiv: math/0608040

[32] J. Lurie, On the Classification of Topological Field Theories, arXiv: 0905.0465

[33] T. Nikolaus, Algebraic Models for Higher Categories, arXiv: math/1003.1342

[34] n-category café,

`\protect\vrule width0pt\protect\href{http://golem.ph.utexas.edu/category/2010/07/the_doldkan_theor`

[35] nlab entry "model structure on functors" http://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/model+structure+on+functors

[36] J. Roberts, Cosimplicial Sets, unpublished manuscript, 1978

[37] H. Sati, U. Schreiber, J. Stasheff, Differential Twisted String and Fivebrane Structures, arXiv: 0910.4001v1

[38] S. Schwede, B. Shipley, Equivalences of Monoidal Model Categories, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 3 (2003) 287-334

[39] S. Schwede, B. Shipley, Stable Model Categories are Categories of Modules, *Topology* 3(2003), pp. 287-334

[40] B. Shipley, H$\mathbb{Z}$-Algebra Spectra are Differential Graded Algebras, arXiv: math/0209215v4

[41] Differential Cohomology in a Cohesive ∞-topos, availble at http://nlab.mathforge.org/schreiber/show/differential+cohor

[42] R. Steiner, Omega-categories and chain complexes, *Homology, Homotopy and Applicatimons, vol.6(1)*, 2004, pp.175-200

[43] R. Street, The Algebra of Oriented Simplexes, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra v.49*, pp.283-336

[44] R. Street, Parity Complexes, *Cahiers topologie et geometrie differentielle categoriques* 32, pp. 315-343, 1991

[45] R. Street, Parity Complexes: Corrigenda, *Cahiers topologie et geometrie differentielle categoriques* 35, pp. 359-361 , 1994

[46] R. Street, Categorical and Combinatorial Aspects of Descent Theory, arXiv: math/0303175

[47] D. Verity, Comsimplicial Sets Characterising the Simplicial Nerves of Strict ω-Categories, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, no. 905, May 2008

[48] D. Verity, unpublished, available at http://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/Verity+on+descent+for+strict+omega-groupoid+val

[49] C. Weibel, An Introduction to Homological Algebra, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 38. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994. xiv+450 pp. ISBN: 0-521-43500-5; 0-521-55987-1